

**ASSESSMENT REPORT
FOR ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023**

ASTRONOMY MINOR

**Department of Physics & Astronomy
University of San Francisco**

November 2nd, 2023

**Submitted by Prof. Horacio E. Camblong
camblongh@usfca.edu**

1 LOGISTICS, MISSION STATEMENT & PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

1.1 PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY CONTACT PERSON (FACULTY ASSESSMENT COORDINATOR).

Name: Professor Horacio E. Camblong, Email: camblongh@usfca.edu

1.2 PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY DEPARTMENT MISSION STATEMENT

No changes were made to the program mission statement since the last assessment cycle in November 2022.

The mission of the Physics & Astronomy Department is to provide our students with the fundamental knowledge and the practical tools of a rigorous physics education that will help them be players and leaders in shaping a more humane world. The Physics program is implemented via a comprehensive coverage of experimental, theoretical, and computational physics, and by combining coursework together with on- and off-campus research and exposure to cutting-edge equipment and laboratory techniques. This rigorous training prepares students for careers and/or graduate studies in any discipline within fundamental or applied science (physics, astronomy, mathematics, chemistry, biology, etc); in any of the standard engineering fields; in education; in medicine and related disciplines; and many other fields, such as law, financial analysis, or positions in the high-technology sector of the global economy.

1.3 ASTRONOMY MINOR LEARNING OUTCOMES (PLOs)

No changes were made to the program learning outcomes (PLOs) since the last assessment cycle in November 2022.

1. • PLO 1.

Demonstrate mastery of the core concepts and general principles of astronomy.

2. • PLO 2.

Conduct experiments and observations with the proper use of equipment

for a detailed comparison with physical and astronomical models and theories.

1.4 CURRICULAR MAP LINKING THE ASTRONOMY MINOR LEARNING OUTCOMES AND THE RELEVANT PHYSICS COURSES

In the curricular map below, the check-mark symbol ✓ indicates the applicable PLOs for each course.

<div>PLOs \Rightarrow</div> <div>PHYS</div> <div>courses</div> <div>\Downarrow</div>	PLO 1 Demonstrate concepts/general principles of astronomy	PLO 2 Conduct experiments/observations with phys/astro equipment
PHYS 120 (Astronomy: Earth/Cosmos)	✓	✓
PHYS 121 (Planetary Astronomy)	✓	✓
PHYS 122 (Geometry of the Cosmos)	✓	
PHYS 221 (Ancient Astronomy)	✓	
PHYS 100, 101, 110, 130 201, 210 (Physics Electives)		✓

1.5 PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME(S) ASSESSED FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

The Program Learning Outcome assessed for this one-year period involves one of three major learning goals relevant to physics and astronomy: proficiency in the basic subfields of physics and astronomy, as well as areas of application.

- **PLO 1.**

Demonstrate mastery of the core concepts and general principles of astronomy.

1.6 ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

The last Academic Program Review (APR) of Physics & Astronomy was conducted in Spring 2018. For the Astronomy Minor discussed in this report, the following timetable of Program Learning Outcomes has been followed thorough last academic year:

- AY 2018-19: PLO 1
- AY 2019-20: PLO 2
- AY 2020-21: PLO 1
- AY 2021-22: PLO 2
- AY 2022-23: PLO 1

We anticipate reassessment of these PLOs until the next APR according to a flexible timetable that will depend on internal factors involving course offerings (as some courses are not offered every year) and ongoing departmental discussions on the assessment procedures. For this academic year, we are already collecting data for next year's report as follows:

- AY 2023-24: PLO 2

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Methodology.

Assessment activities in the Astronomy Minor program were undertaken as planned during the AY 2022-2023, following multiyear departmental guidelines.

2.2 Generic Assessment Procedures.

The program learning outcome PLO 1 was assessed in the lecture sections of the following courses: PHYS 121 (Planetary Astronomy) and PHYS 122 (Geometry of the Cosmos). The process was organized at the departmental level with cooperation of all the instructors involved and our Program Assistant, and according to our multiyear departmental guidelines. The data were stored electronically. The faculty members teaching this course were responsible for the required data collection: Aparna Venkatesan (PHYS 121) and Horacio Camblong (PHYS 122). In addition, the overall logistics and final re-grading of the work products was conducted by Horacio Camblong.

2.3 Assessment Procedures and Data Analysis.

The relevant learning outcome was assessed by means of direct measures consisting of questions in multiple-choice exams. All of the above consist of questions involving significant knowledge of the core concepts and principles of astronomy that provide the essential ingredients for an effective PLO 1 assessment.

The learning outcomes were gauged with the *4-level scale system* listed below. It should be noted that these 4 levels are meant to be categories defined by comparison with the minimum benchmark standard, defined as “average,” regardless of the statistical course average for any given class section. This classification refers to the level of proficiency of the skill and knowledge set involved in the learning outcome.

- **Outstanding = Full Mastery.** This represents **superior performance**, with an almost complete command of the relevant skill and knowledge set.
- **Proficient = Partial Mastery.** This represents **basic, solid performance** that reflects a level of achievement where errors or omissions only affect the final results in a minimal way.
- **Satisfactory = Meets Expectations.** This represents **performance that meets expectations as benchmark standard** set up to correspond to an overall, satisfactory outcome (involving most parts of the assessed problem, question, or project), but allowing for errors or omissions whose correction would otherwise lead to considerable performance improvement (i.e., not reaching partial mastery, but showing a minimum acceptable level for most of the relevant skills).
- **Inadequate = Unsatisfactory Level.** This mark does not necessarily imply complete failure to perform on the given outcome, but involves serious gaps in understanding and/or problem-solving outcomes for the relevant skill and knowledge set.

For all assessed courses in this cycle, student performance was evaluated on the basis of a representative set of multiple-choice questions. The data were collected and graded by the faculty teaching the courses, and subsequently discussed at two Physics & Astronomy Department meetings.

3 RESULTS & MAJOR FINDINGS

The results for the multiple sections of the course selected for assessment are summarized below.

- **PHYS 121 (Planetary Astronomy), Spring 2023:** A comprehensive multiple-choice final exam was administered. All the questions/problems were selected to be representative of this course material, including the core concepts of planetary astronomy.

The assessment procedure involved 28 students; the results were graded and compiled as follows.

Number of Participants: 28 students;

Outstanding: 15 students (53.6%);

Proficient: 13 students (46.4%);

Satisfactory: 0 students (0%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

- **PHYS 122 (Geometry of the Cosmos), Spring 2023:** A comprehensive multiple-choice final exam was administered. All the questions/problems were selected to be representative of this course material, dealing with the physics principles and astronomical applications of general relativity, especially in the context of black holes and cosmology.

The assessment procedure involved 18 students; the results were graded and compiled as follows.

Number of Participants: 18 students;

Outstanding: 10 students (55.6%);

Proficient: 7 students (38.9%);

Satisfactory: 1 student (5.6%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

4 CLOSING THE LOOP

4.1 Follow-Up Discussion and Decision-Making.

Two Physics & Astronomy faculty meetings addressed various aspects of assessment. The discussions included a review of our assessment plan, the learning outcomes, and the results

of this and last assessment cycles. In addition, follow-up discussions are planned for the ongoing 2023-24 Physics Department meetings.

The following conclusions were drawn:

- All in all, the results of the assessment activities show a very high level of performance by all students, with an excellent command of the core concepts and general principles of astronomy, as relevant for the astronomy-minor PLO 1.
- The assessment outcomes of this cycle are also consistent (qualitatively and quantitatively) with the assessment outcomes of earlier academic years.
- We are using a model that has been successful in our Physics & Astronomy programs for several years. The External Program of the Academic Program Review conducted in Spring 2018 praised our assessment program as follows.

“The overall P&A assessment program is well designed and appears mature. The probes are robust and appropriate, and the reports provided by the department are easy to interpret and contain useful information about student performance. P&A does very good work in many areas and students are a dominant focus in much of that work. . . . The assessment program for P&A is more than sufficient, and it is managed extremely well.”

This is consistent with our own self-evaluation.

- No significant curricular changes are planned/required for AY 2023-24.