

ASSESSMENT REPORT
FOR ACADEMIC YEAR 2023-2024

PHYSICS MAJOR, PHYSICS MINOR
& ASTROPHYSICS MINOR

Department of Physics & Astronomy
University of San Francisco

November 8th, 2024
Submitted by Prof. Horacio E. Camblong
camblongh@usfca.edu

1 LOGISTICS, MISSION STATEMENT & PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

1.1 PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY CONTACT PERSON (FACULTY ASSESSMENT COORDINATOR).

Name: Professor Horacio E. Camblong, Email: camblongh@usfca.edu

1.2 PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY DEPARTMENT MISSION STATEMENT

No changes were made to the program mission statement since the last assessment cycle in November 2023.

The mission of the Physics & Astronomy Department is to provide our students with the fundamental knowledge and the practical tools of a rigorous physics education that will help them be players and leaders in shaping a more humane world. The Physics program is implemented via a comprehensive coverage of experimental, theoretical, and computational physics, and by combining coursework together with on- and off-campus research and exposure to cutting-edge equipment and laboratory techniques. This rigorous training prepares students for careers and/or graduate studies in any discipline within fundamental or applied science (physics, astronomy, mathematics, chemistry, biology, etc); in any of the standard engineering fields; in education; in medicine and related disciplines; and many other fields, such as law, financial analysis, or positions in the high-technology sector of the global economy.

1.3 PHYSICS MAJOR & PHYSICS MINOR LEARNING OUTCOMES (PLOs)

No changes were made to the program learning outcomes (PLOs) since the last assessment cycle in November 2023.

1. • PLO 1 (a).

Demonstrate mastery of the core concepts and general principles of physics.

• PLO 1 (b).

Demonstrate competent knowledge of the specific concepts, principles, and problems of each of the basic subfields and some areas of application in physics.

2. • PLO 2.

Conduct experiments for a comparison with physical models and theories, and *examine* the results with the statistical methods of error analysis.

3. • **PLO 3.**

Formulate, solve, and interpret problems by the use of physical principles, via mathematical and computational techniques.

Note: The learning outcomes for the physics major and minor are identical as the relevant courses involve the same learning skills and basic knowledge set. The lower-division courses and mathematical background are identical for both, but the major involves a much larger concentration of upper-division courses (30 units versus only 8 for the minor).

1.4 ASTROPHYSICS MINOR LEARNING OUTCOMES (PLOs)

No changes were made to the program learning outcomes (PLOs) since the last assessment cycle November 2023.

These PLOs for the Astrophysics Minor are essentially identical to the ones for the Physics Major and Minor programs, with the inclusion of some astrophysical content. Thus, assessment is effectively equivalent for all the 3 programs.

1. • **PLO 1 (a).**

Demonstrate mastery of the core concepts and general principles of physics.

• **PLO 1 (b).**

Demonstrate competent knowledge of the specific concepts, principles, and problems of the main *astrophysics* areas and applications.

2. • **PLO 2.**

Conduct experiments for a comparison with physical and *astrophysical* models and theories, and *examine* the results with the statistical methods of error analysis.

3. • **PLO 3.**

Formulate, solve, and interpret problems by the use of physical and *astrophysical* principles, via mathematical and computational techniques.

1.5 CURRICULAR MAP LINKING THE PHYSICS PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES AND THE PHYSICS MAJOR COURSES

In the curricular map below, the check-mark symbol ✓ indicates the applicable PLOs for each course. Due to the universality of the laws of physics, there is a tight vertical correspondence leading from general principles to specifics, following the same basic patterns for all courses.

| PLOs \Rightarrow PHYS courses \Downarrow | PLO 1 (a) Demonstrate concepts & principles | PLO 1 (b) Demonstrate specific knowledge | PLO 2 Conduct and examine experiments + error analysis | PLO 3 Solve problems: mathematical & computational |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| PHYS 110 (General Physics I) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| PHYS 210 (General Physics II) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| PHYS 240 (Modern Physics) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 310 (Analytical Mechanics) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 312 (Statistical/Thermal Phys) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 320 (Electromagnetism) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 330 (Quantum Mechanics) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 340 (Optics) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 341 (Upper-Division Lab) | | | ✓ | |
| PHYS 350 (Physics Colloquium) | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| PHYS 371 (Math Methods Sci/Eng) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 343 (Astrophysics) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
| PHYS 422 (General Relativity) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |

1.6 PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME(S) ASSESSED FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2023-2024

The Program Learning Outcome assessed for this one-year period—in the Physics major, Physics minor, and Astrophysics minor—involves one of three major learning goals relevant to physics and astronomy: application of physical principles to novel situations both in the classroom and in research settings, through critical thinking, problem solving, mathematical and computer modeling, and laboratory experimentation.

- **PLO 3.** (Physics major and minor)

Formulate, solve, and interpret problems by the use of physical principles, via mathematical and computational techniques.

- **PLO 3.** (Astrophysics minor)

Formulate, solve, and interpret problems by the use of physical and *astrophysical* principles, via mathematical and computational techniques.

1.7 ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

For the Physics Major, Physics Minor & Astrophysics Minor discussed in this report, the following timetable of Program Learning Outcomes has been followed in the past four academic years:

- AY 2020-21: PLO 3
- AY 2021-22: PLO 2
- AY 2022-23: PLO 1
- AY 2023-24: PLO 3

We anticipate reassessment of these PLOs until the next APR according to a flexible timetable that will depend on internal factors involving course offerings (as most courses are not offered every year) and ongoing departmental discussions on the assessment procedures. For this academic year, we are already collecting data for next year's report as follows:

- AY 2024-25: PLO 2

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Methodology.

Assessment activities in the Physics Major/Minor and Astrophysics Minor programs were undertaken as planned during the AY 2023-2024, following multiyear departmental guidelines.

2.2 Generic Assessment Procedures.

The program learning outcome PLO 3 above was assessed in the following courses: PHYS 110 (General Physics I), PHYS 210 (General Physics II), PHYS 330 (Quantum Mechanics), PHYS 343 (Astrophysics), and PHYS 422 (General Relativity). The process was organized at the departmental level with cooperation of all the instructors involved and our Program Assistant, and according to our multiyear departmental guidelines. The data were stored electronically. The faculty members teaching these courses were responsible for the required data collection and grading of the students' work products: Marcelo Camperi (PHYS 110), Brandon Brown (PHYS 210), Horacio Camblong (PHYS 330 and 422), and Aparna Venkatesan (PHYS 343). In addition, the overall logistics and final re-grading was conducted by Horacio Camblong, and the results were subsequently discussed at a Physics & Astronomy Department meeting.

All of the selected courses are relevant for both the Physics major and the Physics and Astrophysics minors. PHYS 110 and 210 are required for all three programs (as introductory, foundational background); PHYS 330 is required for the major, and PHYS 343 and 422 are required for the astrophysics minor (and useful electives and/or possible substitutions) within the physics major and minor.

2.3 Assessment Procedures and Data Analysis.

The relevant learning outcomes were assessed by means of direct measures consisting of embedded problems or questions and/or complete exams with problems and questions. The problems and questions being considered are formulated mathematically in such a way that they provide the essential ingredients for an effective PLO 3 assessment.

The learning outcomes were gauged with the *4-level scale system* listed below. It should be noted that these 4 levels are meant to be categories defined by comparison with the

minimum benchmark standard, defined as “average,” regardless of the statistical course average for any given class section. This classification refers to the level of proficiency of the skill and knowledge set involved in the learning outcome.

- **Outstanding = Full Mastery.** This represents **superior performance**, with an almost complete command of the relevant skill and knowledge set.
- **Proficient = Partial Mastery.** This represents **basic, solid performance** that reflects a level of achievement where errors or omissions only affect the final results in a minimal way.
- **Satisfactory = Meets Expectations.** This represents **performance that meets expectations as benchmark standard** set up to correspond to an overall, satisfactory outcome (involving most parts of the assessed problem, question, or project), but allowing for errors or omissions whose correction would otherwise lead to considerable performance improvement (i.e., not reaching partial mastery, but showing a minimum acceptable level for most of the relevant skills).
- **Inadequate = Unsatisfactory Level.** This mark does not necessarily imply complete failure to perform on the given outcome, but involves serious gaps in understanding and/or problem-solving outcomes for the relevant skill and knowledge set.

3 RESULTS & MAJOR FINDINGS

The results for the courses selected for assessment are summarized below:

- **PHYS 110 (General Physics I), Fall 2023:**

A final exam consisting of problems with calculations and conceptual questions was administered. From the whole final exam, a representative embedded problem was selected and graded to assess specifically problem-solving skills within the context of classical mechanics. The selected problem was a typical problem involving questions on forces, motion, and energy, with specific predictions on the future evolution of a roller-coaster car.

The assessment procedure involved 16 students; the results were graded and compiled as follows.

Number of Participants: 32 students;

Outstanding: 23 students (71.9%);

Proficient: 6 students (18.7%);

Satisfactory: 3 students (9.4%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

- **PHYS 210 (General Physics II), Spring 2024:**

A final exam consisting of a comprehensive sample of questions and problems was administered for 23 students, and all of the questions and problems were graded, with the cumulative results shown below. The selected topics for the exam are central to the content of General Physics II, as an introduction to the theory and applications of electricity and magnetism. A variety of questions covered the more straightforward as well as the more elaborate problems testing problem-solving skills in electricity and magnetism.

Number of Participants: 23 students;

Outstanding: 20 students (87.0%);

Proficient: 2 students (8.7%);

Satisfactory: 1 student (4.3%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

- **PHYS 330 (Quantum Mechanics), Fall 2023:**

A final exam consisting of a comprehensive sample of questions and problems was administered for 14 students, and all of the problems were graded, with the cumulative results shown below. All the problems were at or above the level of a Physics GRE exam, and their content is typical of a fairly standard course in Quantum Mechanics, as taught in most physics programs in the USA. The exam covered the whole range of topics of this course, from the most basic applications of the Schrödinger equation to the advanced topics of abstract operator-based quantum mechanics, spin physics, and quantum information.

Number of Participants: 12 students;

Outstanding: 6 students (50%);

Proficient: 6 students (50%);

Satisfactory: 0 students (0%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

- PHYS 343 (Astrophysics), Fall 2023:

A final exam consisting of a sample of questions and problems was administered for 9 students, and all of the problems were graded, with the cumulative results shown below. The exam covered a variety of topics of this course, centered on generic astronomical knowledge, as well as technical details of the physical and astronomical properties of stars and stellar evolution.

Number of Participants: 9 students;

Outstanding: 7 students (77.8%);

Proficient: 2 students (22.2%);

Satisfactory: 0 students (0%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

- PHYS 422 (General Relativity), Spring 2024:

A final exam consisting of a comprehensive sample of questions and problems was administered for 14 students, and all of the problems were graded, with the cumulative results shown below. All the problems were significantly above the level of a Physics GRE exam. The exam covered the whole range of topics of this course, from the most basic concepts of spacetime and special relativity, to the mathematical and physical foundations and applications of Einstein's gravity as the curvature of spacetime.

Number of Participants: 9 students;

Outstanding: 5 students (55.6%);

Proficient: 4 students (44.4%);

Satisfactory: 0 students (0%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

4 CLOSING THE LOOP

4.1 Follow-Up Discussion and Decision-Making.

Two Physics & Astronomy faculty meetings addressed various aspects of assessment. The discussions included a review of our assessment plan, the learning outcomes, and the results of this and last assessment cycles, as well as the feedback from our last assessment cycle. In addition, follow-up discussions are planned for the ongoing 2024-25 Physics Department meetings.

The following conclusions were drawn:

- We are using a well established model of assessment that has been successful in our Physics & Astronomy programs for several years, and received an excellent positive review during our last Academic Program Review (conducted in Spring 2018).
- All in all, the results of the assessment activities show a relatively high level of performance by most students, with an excellent command of analytical skills and problem-solving within physics, as relevant for PLO 3—both for lower- and upper-division level physics courses.
- The assessment outcomes of this cycle are also consistent (qualitatively and quantitatively) with the assessment outcomes of earlier academic years.
- In our departmental discussions of assessment activities and plans, we have often addressed “targeted curricular questions” that we consider central to the goals of our major and minor programs. One question relevant to this specific report has been:
 - Learning Outcome 3: Are physics majors proficient in problem-solving techniques for “complex problems” (involving multi-step tasks)?

We found that students, both lower- and upper-division, are learning have a satisfactory command of the relevant problem-solving techniques needed in our physics courses, including finding the solution to a variety of complex problems.

- No significant curricular changes are planned/required for AY 2024-25.