**MBA AY 2016-2017 Assessment**

***Phase 1: Assessment Plan***

**Learning Outcomes assessed:**

**MBA LO 2 Practical Problems**

Students will apply theory to solve practical problems.

**Assessment Method:**

Homework assignment with multiple choice and open ended questions

**Targeted performance, based on rubrics:**

80% of students meet or exceed expectations

**Evaluation Process:**

Students were evaluated with a homework assignment. The assignment featured nineteen multiple choice and open ended questions to test student’s application of knowledge to problem solving.

**Rubric:**

Test key

**Course where learning outcome was assessed:**

MBA 6015-01 & MBA 6015-02 (in spring 16; FT students)

MBA 6015-03 (in fall 16, PT students)

**Evaluator(s):**

Tom Grossman

Frank Fletcher

***Phase 2: Results Assessment and Planned Action***

**Results:**

Full-time and part-time students were given different exams.

Full time Students (Spring): Two multiple-choice homework assignments were given to students. 54 students were in the sample. In Spring 2016, “skills” were evaluated using the topics of Inventory and Forecasting.

Part-Time Students (Fall): Homework 1 has students apply theory to solve practical problems in inventory management. 34 students in the class. 32 submitted the homework. 2 students did not submit the homework. In Fall 2016, only the topic of Inventory was evaluated. The topic of forecasting was deemphasized and therefore was not evaluated.

Test Scoring Key

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Achievement Level | Full Time Student ScoreMax Score = 230 | Part Time Student ScoreMax Score = 100 |
| Exceeds Expectation (95%) | ≥218 | ≥9 |
| Meets Expectation (90%) | ≥207 | ≥8 |
| Below Expectation (80%) | ≥184 | ≥7 |
| Unprepared  | <184 | <7 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Number of students achieving target |  |  |  |  |
| Full/Part Time | Exceeds Expectations | Meets Expectations | Below Expectations | Unprepared |  | % Students Meeting or Exceeding Expectations |
|   |   |   |   |  |
| Full Time | 40 | 12 | 2 | 0 |  | 96% |
| Part Time | 17 | 14 | 1 | 0 |  | 97% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 57 | 26 | 3 | 0 |  | 97% |
|

|  |
| --- |
|  |
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**Suggested Action:**

Recommended action based on evaluation performance

This section can be used to suggest changes to the program, the assessment process, rubrics, or even the learning outcome itself.

***Phase 3: Closing the Loop***

In the year that the assessment is made, this is good place to describe how the suggested actions might be evaluated in a future assessment cycle. When that cycle is complete, the results can be added to this document to finalize the report.