

ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN STUDIES MINOR

ASSESSMENT REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 – 2018 REPORT DUE DATE: 10/26/2018

Who should submit the report? — All majors, minors (including interdisciplinary minors), graduate and non-degree granting certificate programs of the College of Arts and Sciences. Programs can combine assessment reports for a major and a minor program into one aggregate report as long as the mission statements, program learning outcome(s) evaluated, methodology applied to each, and the results are clearly delineated.

Note: Dear Colleagues: In an effort to produce a more streamlined and less repetitive assessment report format, we are piloting this modified template for the present annual assessment cycle. We are requesting an assessment report that would not exceed eight pages of text. Supporting materials may be appended. We will be soliciting your feedback on the report as we attempt to make it more user-friendly.

Some useful contacts:

- 1. Prof. Alexandra Amati, FDCD, Arts adamati@usfca.edu
- 2. Prof. John Lendvay, FDCD, Sciences lendvay@usfca.edu
- 3. Prof. Mark Meritt, FDCD, Humanities meritt@usfca.edu
- 4. Prof. Michael Jonas, FDCD, Social Sciences mrjonas@usfca.edu
- 5. Prof. Suparna Chakraborty, AD Academic Effectiveness schakraborty2@usfca.edu
- 6. Ms. Corie Schwabenland, Academic Data & Assessment Specialist- ceschwabenland@usfca.edu

Academic Effectiveness Annual Assessment Resource Page:

https://myusf.usfca.edu/arts-sciences/faculty-resources/academic-effectiveness/assessment

Email to submit the report: assessment_cas@usfca.edu

Important: Please write the name of your program or department in the subject line.

For example: FineArts_Major (if you decide to submit a separate report for major and minor);

FineArts_Aggregate (when submitting an aggregate report)

I. LOGISTICS & PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

1. Please indicate the name and email of the program contact person to whom feedback should be sent (usually Chair, Program Director, or Faculty Assessment Coordinator).

Genevieve Leung, Program Director, Asian Pacific American Studies gleung2@usfca.edu

2. Were any changes made to the program mission statement since the last assessment cycle in October 2017? Kindly state "Yes" or "No." Please provide the current mission statement below. If you are submitting an aggregate report, please provide the current mission statements of both the major and the minor program.

No.

The program highlights the Asian Pacific America legacy of struggle and their ongoing contributions to American culture and democracy. Represented disciplines include psychology, sociology, literature, philosophy, and religious studies, among others. The program also administers internships and requires service-learning courses that will provide access to numerous Asian Pacific American organizations in the region.

3. Were any changes made to the program learning outcomes (PLOs) since the last assessment cycle in October 2017? Kindly state "Yes" or "No." Please provide the current PLOs below. If you are submitting an aggregate report, please provide the current PLOs for both the major and the minor programs.

Note: Major revisions in the program learning outcomes need to go through the College Curriculum Committee (contact: Professor Joshua Gamson, gamson@usfca.edu). Minor editorial changes are not

required to go through the College Curriculum Committee.

4. Which particular Program Learning Outcome(s) did you assess for the academic year 2017-2018?

As an interdisciplinary minor program, we plan to assess every third year. As such we did not assess AY2017-18.

II. METHODOLOGY

5. Describe the methodology that you used to assess the PLO(s).

Moving forward, we will assess PLO1 (Comparatively analyze social, economic, and political forces shaping the historical and contemporary experiences of APA communities) by examining the capstone papers for each graduating APAS minor, collected over the course of three years. However, since our program only graduates about 3 minors a year, even after three years' worth of data, we expect the n-size is going to be quite small.

We will use the following rubric:

APAS PLO1 Rubric				
Criteria	Performance Standards			
	Exceeds Expectations (4)	Meets Expectations (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Below Expectations (1)
Comparatively analyze social, economic, and political forces shaping the historical and contemporary experiences of APA communities	Comparatively analyzes social, economic, and political forces with exceptional specificity and accuracy.	Comparatively analyzes social, economic, and political forces with acceptable specificity and accuracy.	Comparatively analyzes some social, economic, and political forces with limited specificity or accuracy.	Did not comparatively analyze social, economic, and political forces, or articulates content with excessive errors.

ADDITIONAL MATERIALS
(Any rubrics used for assessment, relevant tables, charts and figures should be included here)
4 Page