

ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR ACADEMIC YEAR 2021-2022

ASTRONOMY MINOR

Department of Physics & Astronomy University of San Francisco

November 1st, 2022 Submitted by Prof. Horacio E. Camblong camblongh@usfca.edu

1 LOGISTICS, MISSION STATEMENT & PROGRAM LEARN-ING OUTCOMES

1.1 PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY CONTACT PERSON (FACULTY ASSESS-MENT COORDINATOR).

Name: Professor Horacio E. Camblong, Email: camblongh@usfca.edu

1.2 PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY DEPARTMENT MISSION STATEMENT

No changes were made to the program mission statement since the last assessment cycle in November 2021.

The mission of the Physics & Astronomy Department is to provide our students with the fundamental knowledge and the practical tools of a rigorous physics education that will help them be players and leaders in shaping a more humane world. The Physics program is implemented via a comprehensive coverage of experimental, theoretical, and computational physics, and by combining coursework together with on- and offcampus research and exposure to cutting-edge equipment and laboratory techniques. This rigorous training prepares students for careers and/or graduate studies in any discipline within fundamental or applied science (physics, astronomy, mathematics, chemistry, biology, etc); in any of the standard engineering fields; in education; in medicine and related disciplines; and many other fields, such as law, financial analysis, or positions in the high-technology sector of the global economy.

1.3 ASTRONOMY MINOR LEARNING OUTCOMES (PLOs)

No changes were made to the program learning outcomes (PLOs) since the last assessment cycle in November 2021.

1. • PLO 1.

Demonstrate mastery of the core concepts and general principles of astronomy.

2. • PLO 2.

Conduct experiments and observations with the proper use of equipment

for a detailed comparison with physical and astronomical models and theories.

1.4 CURRICULAR MAP LINKING THE ASTRONOMY MINOR LEARN-ING OUTCOMES AND THE RELEVANT PHYSICS COURSES

In the curricular map below, the check-mark symbol \checkmark indicates the applicable PLOs for each course.

$\fbox{PLOs} \Longrightarrow$	PLO 1	PLO 2
PHYS	Demonstrate	Conduct
courses	concepts/general principles	experiments/observations
$ \downarrow$	of astronomy	with phys/astro equipment
PHYS 120		
(Astronomy: Earth/Cosmos)	\checkmark	\checkmark
PHYS 121		
(Planetary Astronomy)	\checkmark	\checkmark
PHYS 122		
(Geometry of the Cosmos)	\checkmark	
PHYS 221		
(Ancient Astronomy)	\checkmark	
PHYS 100, 101, 110, 130		
201 , 210 (Physics Electives)		\checkmark

1.5 PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME(S) ASSESSED FOR THE ACA-DEMIC YEAR 2021-2022

The Astronomy Minor Program Learning Outcome assessed for this one-year period, involves experimental procedures and analysis.

• PLO 2.

Conduct experiments and observations with the proper use of equipment for a detailed comparison with physical and astronomical models and theories.

1.6 ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

The last Academic Program Review (APR) of Physics & Astronomy was conducted in Spring 2018. For the Astronomy Minor discussed in this report, the following timetable of Program Learning Outcomes has been followed thorough last academic year:

- AY 2018-19: PLO 1
- AY 2019-20: PLO 2
- AY 2020-21: PLO 1
- AY 2021-22: PLO 2

We anticipate reassessment of these PLOs until the next APR according to a flexible timetable that will depend on internal factors involving course offerings (as some courses are not offered every year) and ongoing departmental discussions on the assessment procedures. For this academic year, we are already collecting data for next year's report as follows:

• AY 2022-23: PLO 1

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Methodology.

Assessment activities in the Astronomy Minor program were undertaken as planned during the AY 2021-2022, following multiyear departmental guidelines.

2.2 Generic Assessment Procedures.

The program learning outcome PLO2 above was assessed in the laboratory sections of the following course: PHYS 120 (Astronomy: From the Earth to the Cosmos). The process was organized at the departmental level with cooperation of all the instructors involved and our Program Assistant, and according to our multiyear departmental guidelines. The data were stored electronically. The faculty member teaching the two lecture sections of this course (Horacio Camblong) was responsible for the required lab-instructor coordination and data collection of the students' work products; and the grading of the work products was conducted directly by the instructors of the multi-section lab components (William

Golightly, Minhua Zhu, and Aparna Venkatesan). In addition, the overall logistics and final re-grading of the work products was conducted by Horacio Camblong.

2.3 Assessment Procedures and Data Analysis.

The relevant learning outcome was assessed by means of direct measures consisting of questions for specific laboratory exercises. The questions required understanding of the experimental procedures and interpretation of data, in addition to specific knowledge of the concepts and principles of astronomy and physics. The lab exercises were properly selected to provide the essential elements for an effective PLO 2 assessment.

The learning outcomes were gauged with the 4-level scale system listed below. It should be noted that these 4 levels are meant to be categories defined by comparison with the minimum benchmark standard, defined as "average," regardless of the statistical course average for any given class section. This classification refers to the level of proficiency of the skill and knowledge set involved in the learning outcome.

- Outstanding = Full Mastery. This represents superior performance, with an almost complete command of the relevant skill and knowledge set.
- Proficient = Partial Mastery. This represents basic, solid performance that reflects a level of achievement where errors or omissions only affect the final results in a minimal way.
- Satisfactory = Meets Expectations. This represents performance that meets expectations as benchmark standard set up to correspond to an overall, satisfactory outcome (involving most parts of the assessed problem, question, or project), but allowing for errors or omissions whose correction would otherwise lead to considerable performance improvement (i.e., not reaching partial mastery, but showing a minimum acceptable level for most of the relevant skills).
- Inadequate = Unsatisfactory Level. This mark does not necessarily imply complete failure to perform on the given outcome, but involves serious gaps in understanding and/or problem-solving outcomes for the relevant skill and knowledge set.

For all assessed courses in this cycle, student performance was evaluated on the basis of a representative sample of laboratory experiments (usually one or two lab experiments per course). The specific labs and the cutoff numerical grades for each category were selected via a routine discussion among the faculty involved. The data were collected and graded by the faculty teaching the courses, and subsequently discussed at two Physics & Astronomy Department meetings.

3 RESULTS & MAJOR FINDINGS

The results for the multiple sections of the course selected for assessment are summarized below:

• PHYS 120 (Astronomy: From the Earth to the Cosmos) Lab, Spring 2022:

A representative lab experiment was selected: Lab 9, "Origin of the Cosmos: Expansion/Age of the Universe." This is one of the deepest labs in our program, combining the fundamental physics of general relativity (cosmological expansion of the universe) with electromagnetic radiation and quantum theory used to analyze the spectra of distant galaxies, within a laboratory setup. The selected experiment provides the essential ingredients for an effective learning outcome assessment (summarizing a good fraction of the most relevant foundational topics in astronomy).

The assessment procedure involved 6 separate laboratory sections, for a total of 82 students. Of these, 5 students were absent; for the other 77 students who participated in the lab experiment, the results were graded and compiled as follows.

Number of Participants: 77 students;

Outstanding: 76 students (98.7%);

Proficient: 1 student (1.3%);

Satisfactory: 0 students (0%);

Inadequate: 0 students (0%).

Note on rubrics and grading: Lab worksheets were graded with the following parameters: full participation and "completeness" of the worksheet; answering of given questions; and "technical details" (data analysis and interpretation).

4 CLOSING THE LOOP

4.1 Follow-Up Discussion and Decision-Making.

Two Physics & Astronomy faculty meetings addressed various aspects of assessment. The discussions included a review of our assessment plan, the learning outcomes, and the results of this and last assessment cycles. In addition, follow-up discussions are planned for the ongoing 2022-23 Physics Department meetings.

The following conclusions were drawn:

- All in all, the results of the assessment activities show a very high level of performance by all students, with an excellent command of the experimental-physics skills relevant for the astronomy-minor PLO 2.
- The assessment outcomes of this cycle are also consistent (qualitatively and quantitatively) with the assessment outcomes of earlier academic years.
- We are using a model that has been successful in our Physics & Astronomy programs for several years. The External Program of the Academic Program Review conducted in Spring 2018 praised our assessment program as follows.

"The overall P&A assessment program is well designed and appears mature. The probes are robust and appropriate, and the reports provided by the department are easy to interpret and contain useful information about student performance. P&A does very good work in many areas and students are a dominant focus in much of that work. ... The assessment program for P&A is more than sufficient, and it is managed extremely well."

This is consistent with our own self-evaluation.

• No significant curricular changes are planned/required for AY 2022-23.

It should be noted that the physics program has adjusted well to the constraints of the ongoing pandemic, and the PHYS 110 lab was conducted online. For now, no further adjustments are needed.