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1. THE TEST AND HOW IT IS SCORED

The Test

The Standardized Assessment of Information Literacy Skills (SAILS) is a knowledge test with multiple-choice questions targeting a variety of information literacy skills. Questions on the SAILS test are based directly on two documents authored by the Association of College and Research Libraries: (1) Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education: Standards, Performance Indicators, and Outcomes; and (2) Objectives for Information Literacy Instruction: A Model Statement for Academic Librarians (see Appendix F). In those documents, each of five information literacy competency standards is expanded to include performance indicators, outcomes, and objectives. The SAILS test questions are derived from the outcomes and objectives.

ACRL Standard 4 is not included in the SAILS test. Some outcomes or objectives from the other standards are not tested because they are either covered by other outcomes or objectives or are not suitable for multiple-choice testing. Project SAILS has taken an additional step and rearranged the outcomes and objectives from the ACRL documents into eight skill sets. This report gives detailed results for the eight skill sets and more general results for the four ACRL standards.

The SAILS item bank has 162 items. Each student answers 40 items from the item bank and five items that are in development. The associated document, Cohort Test Questions, contains all of the test items.

The items span the eight SAILS skill sets and the four ACRL standards targeted by the test. Students respond to different sets of items, with some common items shared across the individual tests. Figure 1.1 shows how many items are in each of the subscales. Appendix D presents the items in each skill set and standard.

Figure 1.1 Number of Items in Each Subscale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAILS Skill Sets</th>
<th>Number of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing a Research Strategy</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selecting Finding Tools</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using Finding Tool Features</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieving Sources</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating Sources</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documenting Sources</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACRL Standards</th>
<th>Number of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard 1: Determines the nature and extent of the information needed</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 2: Accesses needed information effectively and efficiently</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 3: Evaluates information and its sources critically and incorporates selected information into his or her knowledge base and value system</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 4: NOT USED</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 5: Understands many of the economic, legal, and social issues surrounding the use of information and accesses and uses information ethically and legally</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scoring

The measurement model used by SAILS is item response theory (IRT), specifically the one-parameter Rasch model. IRT calculates scores based on a combination of item difficulty and student performance. The process begins with merging data from all institutions into a benchmark file. Student responses to the items on the test are then used to determine the difficulty level of each item. Once that determination is made, student responses are analyzed to determine an average score for each group (or cohort). Scores in the report are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000.

The report gives results for several groups, including your institution overall, institutions of a similar type, and all institutions combined. Depending on the size of other cohorts and the variability of their responses, additional breakouts may be reported for class standing and majors. If you created any custom questions, breakouts for those may also appear in the report.
2. TEST-TAKER PROFILE

Figure 2.1 is a demographic profile of students who took the SAILS test at University of San Francisco, along with profiles for other institutions of the same type (Doctorate), for the same country, and for all other institutions combined. The table reports the available demographic data; not all elements of demographic data were reported for all test takers.

**Figure 2.1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>USFCA</th>
<th>Institution Type:</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=120</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
<td>n=10,407</td>
<td>n=48,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.246</td>
<td>19,470</td>
<td>19,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>5,017</td>
<td>5,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>5,090</td>
<td>5,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.864</td>
<td>16,147</td>
<td>16,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2,348</td>
<td>2,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td>1,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>1,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>6,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>1,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2,835</td>
<td>2,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1,021</td>
<td>1,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1,705</td>
<td>1,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>6,298</td>
<td>6,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9,854</td>
<td>9,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>1,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom Demographics</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. RESULTS BY SAILS SKILL SETS

Student performance is presented in this section by skill sets, which are regroupings of the ACRL objectives for information literacy instruction. See Appendix E for the full list of the original ACRL standards, performance indicators, outcomes, and objectives.

Figures and text are provided only for skill sets that have enough items and where enough data were collected to allow for analysis on the skill set.

The first part of this section reports findings from across the skill sets, with a Summary of Results followed by Detailed Results in a table. The second part of this section focuses on each of the individual skill sets.

A. Across the Skill Sets

Summary of Results

Students at University of San Francisco performed better than the institution-type benchmark on the following SAILS Skill Sets:

- Selecting Finding Tools
- Searching
- Retrieving Sources
- Evaluating Sources
- Documenting Sources
- Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues

Students at University of San Francisco performed about the same as the institution-type benchmark on the following SAILS Skill Sets:

- Developing a Research Strategy
- Using Finding Tool Features

To identify which skill sets were easier and which were more difficult for University of San Francisco students, below are the skill sets ordered by performance, from best to worst. Skills set scores cannot be directly compared to each other. Instead, the ordering reflects the magnitude of difference between your institution's mean and the institution-type benchmark mean. We calculate the mean and standard deviation of all of the Administrations in the benchmark for each skill set. The ranking is then the distance your mean is from the benchmark mean as a fraction of the standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Best</th>
<th>Worst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>Using Finding Tool Features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selecting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding Tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documenting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic, Legal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Social</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ±5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

Figure 3.1 Data Table Showing Overall Scores Across All SAILS Skill Sets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAILS Skill Sets</th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing a Research Strategy</td>
<td>574 ±10</td>
<td>562 ±3</td>
<td>519 ±1</td>
<td>519 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selecting Finding Tools</td>
<td>588 ±14</td>
<td>549 ±3</td>
<td>498 ±1</td>
<td>498 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching</td>
<td>560 ±10</td>
<td>541 ±3</td>
<td>494 ±1</td>
<td>494 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using Finding Tool Features</td>
<td>563 ±14</td>
<td>561 ±4</td>
<td>517 ±1</td>
<td>517 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieving Sources</td>
<td>579 ±15</td>
<td>553 ±4</td>
<td>499 ±1</td>
<td>499 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating Sources</td>
<td>565 ±13</td>
<td>540 ±3</td>
<td>489 ±1</td>
<td>489 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documenting Sources</td>
<td>546 ±17</td>
<td>525 ±4</td>
<td>459 ±1</td>
<td>459 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues</td>
<td>582 ±14</td>
<td>546 ±3</td>
<td>497 ±1</td>
<td>497 ±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Within Skill Sets

This section reports in detail the performance of University of San Francisco students on the individual SAILS skill sets. For each skill set, the report includes: Summary of Results; Detailed Results - Data Table; Detailed Results - Chart; and ACRL Objectives Measured by the Skill Set. Results for the custom demographic questions are presented in the charts.

1. SAILS Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy

Summary of Results

**University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics**

Students at University of San Francisco performed **better than** the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- **Class Standing:** Freshman
- **Major:** Business/Management, Science/Math

Students at University of San Francisco performed **about the same as** the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- **Class Standing:** Senior
- **Major:** Nursing/Health Sciences, Social Sciences/Psychology

**Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set**

Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed **better than** the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- **Major:** Science/Math

Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed **about the same as** the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- **Class Standing:** Freshman, Senior
- **Major:** Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Social Sciences/Psychology
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ±5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

Figure 3.2 Data Table for Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>574 ±10</td>
<td>562 ±3</td>
<td>519 ±1</td>
<td>519 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>589 ±15</td>
<td>563 ±4</td>
<td>503 ±1</td>
<td>503 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>563 ±13</td>
<td>556 ±4</td>
<td>536 ±1</td>
<td>536 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>585 ±20</td>
<td>544 ±7</td>
<td>521 ±1</td>
<td>520 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>563 ±19</td>
<td>568 ±8</td>
<td>524 ±2</td>
<td>524 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>627 ±31</td>
<td>572 ±7</td>
<td>543 ±3</td>
<td>544 ±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>553 ±23</td>
<td>561 ±8</td>
<td>528 ±1</td>
<td>528 ±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Margin of Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>±16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Chart

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 3.3 Chart for Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy
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Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.3 (continued)  Chart for Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.3 (continued)  Chart for Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Set</th>
<th>USFCA</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>553 ± 23</td>
<td>561 ± 8</td>
<td>528 ± 1</td>
<td>528 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>595 ± 17</td>
<td>568 ± 17</td>
<td>528 ± 1</td>
<td>528 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>595 ± 17</td>
<td>568 ± 17</td>
<td>528 ± 1</td>
<td>528 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?
Figure 3.3 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session?

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.4 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

1.1.1 Confers with instructors and participates in class discussions, peer workgroups and electronic discussions to identify a research topic, or other information need.

1.1.4.1 Identifies an initial question that might be too broad or narrow, as well as one that is probably manageable.

1.1.4.3 Narrows a broad topic and broadens a narrow one by modifying the scope or direction of the question.

1.1.4.4 Demonstrates an understanding of how the desired end product (i.e., the required depth of investigation and analysis) will play a role in determining the need for information.

1.1.4.5 Uses background information sources effectively to gain an initial understanding of the topic.

1.1.4.6 Consults with the course instructor and librarians to develop a manageable focus for the topic.

1.1.5.3 Decides when a research topic has multiple facets or may need to be put into a broader context.

1.2.1.2 Defines the "invisible college" (e.g., personal contacts, listservs specific to a discipline or subject) and describes its value.

1.2.2.1 Names the three major disciplines of knowledge (humanities, social sciences, sciences) and some subject fields that comprise each discipline.

1.2.2.4 Describes how the publication cycle in a particular discipline or subject field affects the researcher's access to information.

1.2.3.1 Identifies various formats in which information is available.

1.2.5.1 Describes how various fields of study define primary and secondary sources differently.

1.2.5.2 Identifies characteristics of information that make an item a primary or secondary source in a given field.

1.4.1.1 Identifies a research topic that may require revision, based on the amount of information found (or not found).

1.4.1.2 Identifies a topic that may need to be modified, based on the content of information found.

1.4.1.3 Decides when it is and is not necessary to abandon a topic depending on the success (or failure) of an initial search for information.

2.2.1.1 Describes a general process for searching for information.

2.2.2.4 Identifies keywords that describe an information source (e.g., book, journal article, magazine article, Web site).

2.3.3.3 Identifies the appropriate service point or resource for the particular information need.

2.3.3.5 Uses the Web site of an institution, library, organization or community to locate information about specific services.

2.5.5 Uses various technologies to manage the information selected and organized.

3.4.1 Determines whether information satisfies the research or other information need.
2. SAILS Skill Set: Selecting Finding Tools

Summary of Results

University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics
Students at University of San Francisco performed better than the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
- Major: Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Students at University of San Francisco performed about the same as the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences

Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set
Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed about the same as the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
- Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

Figure 3.5 Data Table for Skill Set: Selecting Finding Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>588 ±14</td>
<td>549 ±3</td>
<td>498 ±1</td>
<td>498 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>582 ±20</td>
<td>538 ±5</td>
<td>482 ±1</td>
<td>482 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>592 ±19</td>
<td>554 ±6</td>
<td>514 ±1</td>
<td>514 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>554 ±32</td>
<td>541 ±9</td>
<td>501 ±1</td>
<td>501 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>592 ±27</td>
<td>557 ±10</td>
<td>503 ±2</td>
<td>503 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>618 ±36</td>
<td>550 ±9</td>
<td>528 ±4</td>
<td>528 ±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>578 ±36</td>
<td>532 ±10</td>
<td>503 ±2</td>
<td>502 ±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendance</th>
<th>Count ± Margin of Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>664 ±24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>551 ±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>578 ±23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Chart

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ±5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 3.6 Chart for Skill Set: Selecting Finding Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Standing</th>
<th>USFCA</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>588 ± 14</td>
<td>549 ± 3</td>
<td>498 ± 1</td>
<td>482 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>582 ± 20</td>
<td>538 ± 5</td>
<td>498 ± 1</td>
<td>482 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>592 ± 19</td>
<td>554 ± 6</td>
<td>514 ± 1</td>
<td>514 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.6 (continued)  Chart for Skill Set: Selecting Finding Tools

- **USFCA**
- **Institution Type: Doctorate**
- **All Institutions**

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?
Figure 3.6 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Selecting Finding Tools

- **USFCA**
- **US Institutions**
- **Institution Type: Doctorate**
- **All Institutions**

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction...
Figure 3.7 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Selecting Finding Tools

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

1.1.3.2 Demonstrates when it is appropriate to use a general and subject-specific information source (e.g., to provide an overview, to give ideas on terminology).

2.1.3.4 Distinguishes among indexes, online databases, and collections of online databases, as well as gateways to different databases and collections.

2.1.3.5 Selects appropriate tools (e.g., indexes, online databases) for research on a particular topic.

2.1.3.6 Identifies the differences between freely available Internet search tools and subscription or fee-based databases.

2.1.3.8 Determines the period of time covered by a particular source.

2.1.3.9 Identifies the types of sources that are indexed in a particular database or index (e.g., an index that covers newspapers or popular periodicals versus a more specialized index to find scholarly literature).

2.2.6.1 Locates major print bibliographic and reference sources appropriate to the discipline of a research topic.

2.3.1.2 Identifies research sources, regardless of format, that are appropriate to a particular discipline or research need.

2.3.1.4 Uses different research sources (e.g., catalogs and indexes) to find different types of information (e.g., books and periodical articles).

2.3.2.2 Explains the difference between the library catalog and a periodical index.

2.3.2.3 Describes the different scopes of coverage found in different periodical indexes.

3.4.5.3 Determines when some topics may be too recent to be covered by some standard tools (e.g., a periodicals index) and when information on the topic retrieved by less authoritative tools (e.g., a Web search engine) may not be reliable.

3.6.3 Seeks expert opinion through a variety of mechanisms (e.g., interviews, email, listservs)
3. SAILS Skill Set: Searching

Summary of Results

University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics

Students at University of San Francisco performed better than the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Class Standing: Senior
- Major: Social Sciences/Psychology

Students at University of San Francisco performed about the same as the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Class Standing: Freshman
- Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math

Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set

Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed about the same as the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
- Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ±5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

Figure 3.8 Data Table for Skill Set: Searching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>560 ±10</td>
<td>541 ±3</td>
<td>494 ±1</td>
<td>494 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>555 ±14</td>
<td>542 ±4</td>
<td>479 ±1</td>
<td>479 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>564 ±13</td>
<td>532 ±5</td>
<td>507 ±1</td>
<td>506 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>548 ±22</td>
<td>528 ±7</td>
<td>498 ±1</td>
<td>497 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>554 ±19</td>
<td>555 ±8</td>
<td>498 ±2</td>
<td>498 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>559 ±22</td>
<td>543 ±7</td>
<td>518 ±3</td>
<td>520 ±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>592 ±23</td>
<td>537 ±8</td>
<td>499 ±2</td>
<td>498 ±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>574 ±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>574 ±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>546 ±14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Chart

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 3.9 Chart for Skill Set: Searching

- **USFCA**
- **Institution Type: Doctorate**
- **US Institutions**
- **All Institutions**
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Figure 3.9 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Searching

USFCA
Institution Type: Doctorate
US Institutions
All Institutions

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.9 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Searching

- **USFCA**
- **US Institutions**
- **Institution Type: Doctorate**
- **All Institutions**

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?
Figure 3.9 (continued)  Chart for Skill Set: Searching

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session?

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.10 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Searching

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

1.1.5.1 Lists terms that may be useful for locating information on a topic.

1.1.5.2 Identifies and uses appropriate general or subject-specific sources to discover terminology related to an information need.

1.2.2.2 Finds sources that provide relevant subject field- and discipline-related terminology.

1.2.2.3 Uses relevant subject- and discipline-related terminology in the information research process.

2.2.2.3 Identifies alternate terminology, including synonyms, broader or narrower words and phrases that describe a topic.

2.2.3.2 Explains what controlled vocabulary is and why it is used.

2.2.3.4 Identifies when and where controlled vocabulary is used in a bibliographic record, and then successfully searches for additional information using that vocabulary.

2.2.4.1 Demonstrates when it is appropriate to search a particular field (e.g., title, author, subject).

2.2.4.2 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of Boolean logic and constructs a search statement using Boolean operators.

2.2.4.3 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of proximity searching and constructs a search statement using proximity operators.

2.2.4.4 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of nesting and constructs a search using nested words or phrases.

2.2.4.6 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of keyword searching and uses it appropriately and effectively.

2.2.4.7 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of truncation and uses it appropriately and effectively.

2.2.5.3 Narrows or broadens questions and search terms to retrieve the appropriate quantity of information, using search techniques such as Boolean logic, limiting, and field searching.

2.4.1.1 Determines if the quantity of citations retrieved is adequate, too extensive, or insufficient for the information need.

2.4.1.3 Assesses the relevance of information found by examining elements of the citation such as title, abstract, subject headings, source, and date of publication.

3.4.5.2 Determines when a single search strategy may not fit a topic precisely enough to retrieve sufficient relevant information.

3.7.2.1 Demonstrates how searches may be limited or expanded by modifying search terminology or logic.

3.7.3.1 Examines footnotes and bibliographies from retrieved items to locate additional sources.
4. SAILS Skill Set: Using Finding Tool Features

Summary of Results

University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics
Students at University of San Francisco performed about the same as the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set
Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed about the same as the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ±5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

Figure 3.11 Data Table for Skill Set: Using Finding Tool Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>563 ±14</td>
<td>561 ±4</td>
<td>517 ±1</td>
<td>517 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>578 ±23</td>
<td>559 ±6</td>
<td>506 ±1</td>
<td>506 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>552 ±17</td>
<td>554 ±6</td>
<td>530 ±1</td>
<td>530 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>546 ±37</td>
<td>553 ±10</td>
<td>517 ±2</td>
<td>517 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>587 ±22</td>
<td>563 ±10</td>
<td>524 ±2</td>
<td>524 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>581 ±39</td>
<td>568 ±10</td>
<td>543 ±4</td>
<td>543 ±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>535 ±30</td>
<td>544 ±10</td>
<td>522 ±2</td>
<td>522 ±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Chart

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 3.12 Chart for Skill Set: Using Finding Tool Features
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Figure 3.12 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Using Finding Tool Features

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?
Figure 3.12 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Using Finding Tool Features

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session?

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.13 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Using Finding Tool Features

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

2.1.3.1 Describes the structure and components of the system or tool being used, regardless of format (e.g., index, thesaurus, type of information retrieved by the system).

2.1.3.2 Identifies the source of help within a given information retrieval system and uses it effectively.

2.1.3.3 Identifies what types of information are contained in a particular system (e.g., all branch libraries are included in the catalog; not all databases are full text; catalogs, periodical databases, and Web sites may be included in a gateway).

2.1.3.7 Identifies and uses search language and protocols (e.g., Boolean, adjacency) appropriate to the retrieval system.

2.1.4.2 Determines appropriate means for recording or saving the desired information (e.g., printing, saving to disc, photocopying, taking notes).

2.2.5.1 Uses help screens and other user aids to understand the particular search structures and commands of an information retrieval system.

2.2.5.2 Demonstrates an awareness of the fact that there may be separate interfaces for basic and advanced searching in retrieval systems.

2.2.6.4 Uses effectively the organizational structure of a typical book (e.g., indexes, tables of contents, user's instructions, legends, cross-references) in order to locate pertinent information in it.

2.3.1.5 Describes search functionality common to most databases regardless of differences in the search interface (e.g., Boolean logic capability, field structure, keyword searching, relevancy ranking).

2.3.1.6 Uses effectively the organizational structure and access points of print research sources (e.g., indexes, bibliographies) to retrieve pertinent information from those sources.

2.5.1 Selects among various technologies the most appropriate one for the task of extracting the needed information (e.g., copy/paste software functions, photocopier, scanner, audio/visual equipment, or exploratory instruments)
5. SAILS Skill Set: Retrieving Sources

Summary of Results

University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics
Students at University of San Francisco performed better than the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Class Standing: Senior
- Major: Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Students at University of San Francisco performed about the same as the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Class Standing: Freshman
- Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences

Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set
Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed about the same as the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
- Major: Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed worse than the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- Major: Business/Management
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

Figure 3.14 Data Table for Skill Set: Retrieving Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>579 ±15</td>
<td>553 ±4</td>
<td>499 ±1</td>
<td>499 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>558 ±24</td>
<td>549 ±6</td>
<td>480 ±1</td>
<td>481 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>596 ±20</td>
<td>549 ±7</td>
<td>517 ±1</td>
<td>517 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>518 ±35</td>
<td>532 ±11</td>
<td>498 ±2</td>
<td>498 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>603 ±27</td>
<td>587 ±11</td>
<td>512 ±3</td>
<td>512 ±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>634 ±46</td>
<td>559 ±11</td>
<td>524 ±4</td>
<td>525 ±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>602 ±39</td>
<td>551 ±11</td>
<td>510 ±2</td>
<td>510 ±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>580 ±28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>583 ±30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>572 ±25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Detailed Results - Chart**

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not meaningfully different</th>
<th>Meaningfully different</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>530 ±8</td>
<td>574 ±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531 ±5</td>
<td>535 ±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Figure 3.15  Chart for Skill Set: Retrieving Sources
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Figure 3.15 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Retrieving Sources
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Figure 3.15 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Retrieving Sources

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?
In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction...
Figure 3.16 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Retrieving Sources

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

1.2.6 Realizes that information may need to be constructed with raw data from primary sources
1.3.1.1 Determines if material is available immediately.
1.3.1.2 Uses available services appropriately to obtain desired materials or alternative sources.
1.3.3.2 Demonstrates a general knowledge of how to obtain information that is not available immediately.
1.3.3.3 Acts appropriately to obtain information within the time frame required.
2.2.6.3 Demonstrates an understanding of the fact that items may be grouped together by subject in order to facilitate browsing.
2.3.1.1 Describes some materials that are not available online or in digitized formats and must be accessed in print or other formats (e.g., microform, video, audio).
2.3.2.1 Uses call number systems effectively (e.g., demonstrates how a call number assists in locating the corresponding item in the library).
2.3.3.1 Retrieves a document in print or electronic form.
2.3.3.2 Describes various retrieval methods for information not available locally.
2.3.3.4 Initiates an interlibrary loan request by filling out and submitting a form either online or in person.
6. SAILS Skill Set: Evaluating Sources

Summary of Results

University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics
Students at University of San Francisco performed **better than** the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- **Class Standing:** Senior
- **Major:** Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math

Students at University of San Francisco performed **about the same as** the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- **Class Standing:** Freshman
- **Major:** Business/Management, Social Sciences/Psychology

Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set
Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed **about the same as** the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- **Class Standing:** Freshman, Senior
- **Major:** Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

Figure 3.17 Data Table for Skill Set: Evaluating Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>565 ±13</td>
<td>540 ±3</td>
<td>489 ±1</td>
<td>489 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>555 ±19</td>
<td>537 ±5</td>
<td>473 ±1</td>
<td>473 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>573 ±17</td>
<td>537 ±5</td>
<td>505 ±1</td>
<td>504 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>532 ±24</td>
<td>525 ±8</td>
<td>492 ±1</td>
<td>491 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>567 ±22</td>
<td>532 ±9</td>
<td>491 ±2</td>
<td>491 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>617 ±46</td>
<td>558 ±9</td>
<td>519 ±4</td>
<td>519 ±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>563 ±29</td>
<td>540 ±8</td>
<td>498 ±2</td>
<td>498 ±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>594 ±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>536 ±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>574 ±21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Chart

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 3.18 Chart for Skill Set: Evaluating Sources
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Figure 3.18 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Evaluating Sources

- **USFCA**
- **US Institutions**
- **Institution Type: Doctorate**
- **All Institutions**
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In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?

Results By SAILS Skill Sets

- **Major**
- **Social Sciences/Psychology**
- **1 (one) Session**
- **2 (two) Sessions**
In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session?

- **Never attended**: 574 ± 21
  - USFCA
  - Institution Type: Doctorate
  - All Institutions

---

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.19 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Evaluating Sources

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

1.2.4.1 Distinguishes characteristics of information provided for different audiences.

1.4.2.3 Lists various criteria, such as currency, which influence information choices. (See also 2.4. and 3.2.)

2.1.4.1 Selects appropriate information sources (i.e., primary, secondary or tertiary sources) and determines their relevance for the current information need.

2.4.1.2 Evaluates the quality of the information retrieved using criteria such as authorship, point of view/bias, date written, citations, etc.

2.4.1.4 Determines the relevance of an item to the information need in terms of its depth of coverage, language, and time frame.

3.2.1.1 Locates and examines critical reviews of information sources using available resources and technologies.

3.2.1.2 Investigates an author's qualifications and reputation through reviews or biographical sources.

3.2.1.3 Investigates validity and accuracy by consulting sources identified through bibliographic references.

3.2.1.8 Demonstrates an understanding that other sources may provide additional information to either confirm or question point of view or bias.

3.2.3.1 Demonstrates an understanding that information in any format reflects an author's, sponsor's, and/or publisher's point of view.

3.2.3.2 Demonstrates an understanding that some information and information sources may present a one-sided view and may express opinions rather than facts.

3.2.3.3 Demonstrates an understanding that some information and sources may be designed to trigger emotions, conjure stereotypes, or promote support for a particular viewpoint or group.

3.2.3.5 Searches for independent verification or corroboration of the accuracy and completeness of the data or representation of facts presented in an information source.

3.4.7.2 Distinguishes among various information sources in terms of established evaluation criteria (e.g., content, authority, currency).
7. SAILS Skill Set: Documenting Sources

Summary of Results

University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics
Students at University of San Francisco performed better than the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:
  - Class Standing: Freshman
  - Major: Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Students at University of San Francisco performed about the same as the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:
  - Class Standing: Senior
  - Major: Business/Management

Students at University of San Francisco performed worse than the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:
  - Major: Nursing/Health Sciences

Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set
Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed about the same as the USFCA-average-student benchmark:
  - Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
  - Major: Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed worse than the USFCA-average-student benchmark:
  - Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences
Detailed Results - Data Table

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

**Figure 3.20 Data Table for Skill Set: Documenting Sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>546 ±17</td>
<td>525 ±4</td>
<td>459 ±1</td>
<td>459 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>551 ±24</td>
<td>510 ±6</td>
<td>436 ±1</td>
<td>437 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>542 ±23</td>
<td>533 ±7</td>
<td>482 ±1</td>
<td>482 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>488 ±35</td>
<td>492 ±10</td>
<td>454 ±2</td>
<td>454 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>493 ±29</td>
<td>534 ±12</td>
<td>465 ±3</td>
<td>465 ±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>597 ±55</td>
<td>515 ±11</td>
<td>507 ±4</td>
<td>508 ±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>594 ±39</td>
<td>537 ±11</td>
<td>463 ±2</td>
<td>463 ±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
<td>572 ±34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
<td>487 ±28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
<td>582 ±27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Chart

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 3.21 Chart for Skill Set: Documenting Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Standing</th>
<th>USFCA</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>546 ±17</td>
<td>525 ±4</td>
<td>551 ±24</td>
<td>510 ±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>459 ±1</td>
<td>459 ±4</td>
<td>436 ±1</td>
<td>437 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>542 ±23</td>
<td>533 ±7</td>
<td>482 ±1</td>
<td>482 ±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.21 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Documenting Sources

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.21 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Documenting Sources

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?
Figure 3.21 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Documenting Sources

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instructor...
Figure 3.22 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Documenting Sources

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

2.3.1.3 Recognizes the format of an information source (e.g., book, chapter in a book, periodical article) from its citation. (See also 2.3.2.)

2.3.2.4 Distinguishes among citations to identify various types of materials (e.g., books, periodical articles, essays in anthologies). (See also 2.3.1.)

2.5.3.1 Identifies different types of information sources cited in a research tool.

2.5.3.3 Demonstrates an understanding that different disciplines may use different citation styles.

5.3.1.2 Identifies citation elements for information sources in different formats (e.g., book, article, television program, Web page, interview).

5.3.1.3 Demonstrates an understanding that there are different documentation styles, published or accepted by various groups.

5.3.1.5 Describes when the format of the source cited may dictate a certain citation style.

5.3.1.7 Locates information about documentation styles either in print or electronically, e.g., through the library's Web site.

5.3.1.8 Recognizes that consistency of citation format is important, especially if a course instructor has not required a particular style.
Summary of Results

University of San Francisco Compared to Other Doctorate Institutions, by Demographic Characteristics

Students at University of San Francisco performed better than the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Class Standing: Freshman, Senior

Students at University of San Francisco performed about the same as the institution-type benchmark on this skill set for the following demographic groups:

- Major: Business/Management, Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Demographic Groups within University of San Francisco Compared to the USFCA Overall Performance on This Skill Set

Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed about the same as the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- Class Standing: Freshman, Senior
- Major: Nursing/Health Sciences, Science/Math, Social Sciences/Psychology

Within University of San Francisco, the following groups performed worse than the USFCA-average-student benchmark:

- Major: Business/Management
**Detailed Results - Data Table**

Scores are placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. In the following table, the average score for each group is reported. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

**Figure 3.23 Data Table for Skill Set: Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>University of San Francisco</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>582 ±14</td>
<td>546 ±3</td>
<td>497 ±1</td>
<td>497 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>584 ±21</td>
<td>540 ±5</td>
<td>479 ±1</td>
<td>479 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>581 ±18</td>
<td>542 ±6</td>
<td>515 ±1</td>
<td>515 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business / Management</td>
<td>525 ±32</td>
<td>538 ±10</td>
<td>505 ±2</td>
<td>505 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing / Health Sciences</td>
<td>592 ±22</td>
<td>562 ±11</td>
<td>494 ±2</td>
<td>494 ±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science / Math</td>
<td>566 ±41</td>
<td>542 ±9</td>
<td>517 ±4</td>
<td>518 ±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences / Psychology</td>
<td>560 ±34</td>
<td>540 ±9</td>
<td>503 ±2</td>
<td>503 ±2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CUSTOM DEMOGRAPHICS QUESTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (one) Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (two) Sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ (three or more) Sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never attended</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Detailed Results - Chart

The chart on the following pages compare the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

Charts may also include indicators of performance by class standing, major, and custom demographics.

On the left side of each chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 3.24 Chart for Skill Set: Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues

Institution Type: Doctorate

Results By SAILS Skill Sets
Figure 3.24 (continued) Chart for Skill Set: Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues
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In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session with a Gleeson librarian?

**Results By SAILS Skill Sets**
Figure 3.24 (continued)  Chart for Skill Set: Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues

In the past academic year, have you attended a library instruction session?
Figure 3.25 Objectives and Outcomes for Skill Set: Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

5.1.1 Identifies and discusses issues related to privacy and security in both the print and electronic environments

5.1.2.1 Demonstrates an understanding that not all information on the Web is free, i.e., some Web-based databases require users to pay a fee or to subscribe in order to retrieve full text or other content.

5.1.2.2 Demonstrates awareness that the library pays for access to databases, information tools, full-text resources, etc., and may use the Web to deliver them to its clientele.

5.1.2.3 Describes how the terms of subscriptions or licenses may limit their use to a particular clientele or location.

5.1.3 Identifies and discusses issues related to censorship and freedom of speech

5.1.4 Demonstrates an understanding of intellectual property, copyright, and fair use of copyrighted material

5.2.1 Participates in electronic discussions following accepted practices (e.g. "Netiquette")

5.2.5 Legally obtains, stores, and disseminates text, data, images, or sounds

5.2.6 Demonstrates an understanding of what constitutes plagiarism and does not represent work attributable to others as his/her own

5.2.7 Demonstrates an understanding of institutional policies related to human subjects research
4. RESULTS BY ACRL STANDARDS

Results are presented on the following pages for the outcomes and objectives arranged within the original ACRL standards. The Summary of Results is followed by Detailed Results - Data Table; Detailed Results - Chart; and ACRL Objectives Measured by the Standard.

Summary of Results

Students at University of San Francisco performed better than than the 'institution-type' benchmark on Standards 1 (Determines the Nature and Extent of the Information Needed), 2 (Accesses Needed Information Effectively and Efficiently), 3 (Evaluates Information and Its Sources Critically and Incorporates Selected Information Into His or Her Knowledge Base and Value System), and 5 (Understands Many of the Economic, Legal, and Social Issues Surrounding the Use of Information and Accesses and Uses Information Ethically and Legally).

Detailed Results - Data Table

Figure 4.1 shows the average student performance at your institution, along with the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

The average score for each group is reported as a number placed on a scale that ranges from 0 to 1000. Standard errors above and below the score are indicated with ±. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

The true group average score falls between two numbers. Those numbers can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the reported score. For example, a reported score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a range from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores overlap. Ranges of scores that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.
### Figure 4.1 Data Table for ACRL Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACRL Standard</th>
<th>USFCA</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
<th>US Institutions</th>
<th>All Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard 1: Determines the Nature and Extent of the Information Needed</td>
<td>574 ±10</td>
<td>552 ±2</td>
<td>511 ±1</td>
<td>511 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 2: Accesses Needed Information Effectively and Efficiently</td>
<td>555 ±7</td>
<td>541 ±2</td>
<td>499 ±0</td>
<td>499 ±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 3: Evaluates Information and Its Sources Critically and Incorporates Selected Information Into His or Her Knowledge Base and Value System</td>
<td>562 ±12</td>
<td>539 ±3</td>
<td>486 ±1</td>
<td>486 ±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard 5: Understands Many of the Economic, Legal, and Social Issues Surrounding the Use of Information and Accesses and Uses Information Ethically and Legally</td>
<td>579 ±12</td>
<td>545 ±3</td>
<td>490 ±1</td>
<td>490 ±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results By ACRL Standards
Detailed Results - Chart

Figure 4.2 is a chart that compares the average student performance at your institution to the average for your institution type, for the same country, and the average for all institutions.

On the left side of the chart (the vertical axis), the scale ranges from 0 to 1000. Average scores for each group (cohort) are shown on the chart. Use the color key to identify each group.

Each box on the chart shows the average score for that group plus the standard error. The accuracy of the average score calculation is affected by sample size and variability. Small samples or large variability can reduce the accuracy of the score calculation. In those cases, the standard error is larger. (Standard error is the combination of sampling error and measurement error.) Where we are able to measure the score with a high degree of accuracy, the standard error is small.

On the chart, the bigger boxes show larger standard error. The upper and lower boundaries of each box can be calculated by adding and subtracting the standard error to the score. For example, a score of 525 with a standard error of ± 5 has a box that ranges from 530 to 520. The true group average score falls in the range of 530 to 520.

To determine whether two groups are meaningfully different from each other, see whether the ranges of scores, represented by the boxes, overlap. Ranges of scores (boxes) that do overlap are not meaningfully different from each other; those that do NOT overlap are meaningfully different.

For example,
Figure 4.2 Chart for ACRL Standards

Results By ACRL Standards
Figure 4.2 (continued) Chart for ACRL Standards

Standard 3: Evaluates Information and Its Sources Critically and Incorporates Selected Information Into His or Her Knowledge Base and Value System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>USFCA (±12)</th>
<th>US Institutions (±3)</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>562</td>
<td>539</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>486</td>
<td>486</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard 5: Understands Many of the Economic, Legal, and Social Issues Surrounding the Use of Information and Accesses and Uses Information Ethically and Legally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>USFCA (±12)</th>
<th>US Institutions (±3)</th>
<th>Institution Type: Doctorate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>579</td>
<td>545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>490</td>
<td>490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.3 Objectives and Outcomes from ACRL Standard 1 Measured by the SAILS Test


The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

1.1.1 Confers with instructors and participates in class discussions, peer workgroups and electronic discussions to identify a research topic, or other information need

1.1.3.2 Demonstrates when it is appropriate to use a general and subject-specific information source (e.g., to provide an overview, to give ideas on terminology).

1.1.4.1 Identifies an initial question that might be too broad or narrow, as well as one that is probably manageable.

1.1.4.3 Narrows a broad topic and broadens a narrow one by modifying the scope or direction of the question.

1.1.4.4 Demonstrates an understanding of how the desired end product (i.e., the required depth of investigation and analysis) will play a role in determining the need for information.

1.1.4.5 Uses background information sources effectively to gain an initial understanding of the topic.

1.1.4.6 Consults with the course instructor and librarians to develop a manageable focus for the topic.

1.1.5.1 Lists terms that may be useful for locating information on a topic.

1.1.5.2 Identifies and uses appropriate general or subject-specific sources to discover terminology related to an information need.

1.1.5.3 Decides when a research topic has multiple facets or may need to be put into a broader context.

1.2.1.2 Defines the "invisible college" (e.g., personal contacts, listservs specific to a discipline or subject) and describes its value.

1.2.2.1 Names the three major disciplines of knowledge (humanities, social sciences, sciences) and some subject fields that comprise each discipline.

1.2.2.2 Finds sources that provide relevant subject field- and discipline-related terminology.

1.2.2.3 Uses relevant subject- and discipline-related terminology in the information research process.

1.2.2.4 Describes how the publication cycle in a particular discipline or subject field affects the researcher's access to information.

1.2.3.1 Identifies various formats in which information is available.

1.2.4.1 Distinguishes characteristics of information provided for different audiences.

1.2.5.1 Describes how various fields of study define primary and secondary sources differently.

1.2.5.2 Identifies characteristics of information that make an item a primary or secondary source in a given field.

1.2.6 Realizes that information may need to be constructed with raw data from primary sources.

1.3.1.1 Determines if material is available immediately.

1.3.1.2 Uses available services appropriately to obtain desired materials or alternative sources.

1.3.3.2 Demonstrates a general knowledge of how to obtain information that is not available immediately.

1.3.3.3 Acts appropriately to obtain information within the time frame required.

1.4.1.1 Identifies a research topic that may require revision, based on the amount of information found (or not found).

1.4.1.2 Identifies a topic that may need to be modified, based on the content of information found.
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Figure 4.3 (continued) Objectives and Outcomes from ACRL Standard 1 Measured by the SAILS Test

1.4.1.3 Decides when it is and is not necessary to abandon a topic depending on the success (or failure) of an initial search for information.

1.4.2.3 Lists various criteria, such as currency, which influence information choices. (See also 2.4. and 3.2.)
Figure 4.4 Objectives and Outcomes from ACRL Standard 2 Measured by the SAILS Test

Standard 2: Accesses Needed Information Effectively and Efficiently.

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

2.1.3.1 Describes the structure and components of the system or tool being used, regardless of format (e.g., index, thesaurus, type of information retrieved by the system).

2.1.3.2 Identifies the source of help within a given information retrieval system and uses it effectively.

2.1.3.3 Identifies what types of information are contained in a particular system (e.g., all branch libraries are included in the catalog; not all databases are full text; catalogs, periodical databases, and Web sites may be included in a gateway).

2.1.3.4 Distinguishes among indexes, online databases, and collections of online databases, as well as gateways to different databases and collections.

2.1.3.5 Selects appropriate tools (e.g., indexes, online databases) for research on a particular topic.

2.1.3.6 Identifies the differences between freely available Internet search tools and subscription or fee-based databases.

2.1.3.7 Identifies and uses search language and protocols (e.g., Boolean, adjacency) appropriate to the retrieval system.

2.1.3.8 Determines the period of time covered by a particular source.

2.1.3.9 Identifies the types of sources that are indexed in a particular database or index (e.g., an index that covers newspapers or popular periodicals versus a more specialized index to find scholarly literature).

2.1.4.1 Selects appropriate information sources (i.e., primary, secondary or tertiary sources) and determines their relevance for the current information need.

2.1.4.2 Determines appropriate means for recording or saving the desired information (e.g., printing, saving to disc, photocopying, taking notes).

2.2.1.1 Describes a general process for searching for information.

2.2.2.3 Identifies alternate terminology, including synonyms, broader or narrower words and phrases that describe a topic.

2.2.2.4 Identifies keywords that describe an information source (e.g., book, journal article, magazine article, Web site).

2.2.3.2 Explains what controlled vocabulary is and why it is used.

2.2.3.4 Identifies when and where controlled vocabulary is used in a bibliographic record, and then successfully searches for additional information using that vocabulary.

2.2.4.1 Demonstrates when it is appropriate to search a particular field (e.g., title, author, subject).

2.2.4.2 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of Boolean logic and constructs a search statement using Boolean operators.

2.2.4.3 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of proximity searching and constructs a search statement using proximity operators.

2.2.4.4 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of nesting and constructs a search using nested words or phrases.

2.2.4.6 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of keyword searching and uses it appropriately and effectively.
Figure 4.4 (continued) Objectives and Outcomes from ACRL Standard 2 Measured by the SAILS Test

2.2.4.7 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of truncation and uses it appropriately and effectively.

2.2.5.1 Uses help screens and other user aids to understand the particular search structures and commands of an information retrieval system.

2.2.5.2 Demonstrates an awareness of the fact that there may be separate interfaces for basic and advanced searching in retrieval systems.

2.2.5.3 Narrows or broadens questions and search terms to retrieve the appropriate quantity of information, using search techniques such as Boolean logic, limiting, and field searching.

2.2.6.1 Locates major print bibliographic and reference sources appropriate to the discipline of a research topic.

2.2.6.2 Demonstrates an understanding of the fact that items may be grouped together by subject in order to facilitate browsing.

2.2.6.3 Uses effectively the organizational structure of a typical book (e.g., indexes, tables of contents, user's instructions, legends, cross-references) in order to locate pertinent information in it.

2.2.6.4 Demonstrates an awareness of the fact that there may be separate interfaces for basic and advanced searching in retrieval systems.

2.2.7.1 Describes some materials that are not available online or in digitized formats and must be accessed in print or other formats (e.g., microform, video, audio).

2.2.7.2 Identifies research sources, regardless of format, that are appropriate to a particular discipline or research need.

2.2.7.3 Recognizes the format of an information source (e.g., book, chapter in a book, periodical article) from its citation. (See also 2.3.2.)

2.2.7.4 Uses different research sources (e.g., catalogs and indexes) to find different types of information (e.g., books and periodical articles).

2.2.7.5 Describes search functionality common to most databases regardless of differences in the search interface (e.g., Boolean logic capability, field structure, keyword searching, relevancy ranking).

2.2.7.6 Uses effectively the organizational structure and access points of print research sources (e.g., indexes, bibliographies) to retrieve pertinent information from those sources.

2.2.8.1 Uses call number systems effectively (e.g., demonstrates how a call number assists in locating the corresponding item in the library).

2.2.8.2 Explains the difference between the library catalog and a periodical index.

2.2.8.3 Describes the different scopes of coverage found in different periodical indexes.

2.2.8.4 Distinguishes among citations to identify various types of materials (e.g., books, periodical articles, essays in anthologies). (See also 2.3.1.)

2.2.9.1 Retrieves a document in print or electronic form.

2.2.9.2 Describes various retrieval methods for information not available locally.

2.2.9.3 Identifies the appropriate service point or resource for the particular information need.

2.2.9.4 Initiates an interlibrary loan request by filling out and submitting a form either online or in person.

2.2.9.5 Uses the Web site of an institution, library, organization or community to locate information about specific services.

2.4.1.1 Determines if the quantity of citations retrieved is adequate, too extensive, or insufficient for the information need.

2.4.1.2 Evaluates the quality of the information retrieved using criteria such as authorship, point of view/bias, date written, citations, etc.

2.4.1.3 Assesses the relevance of information found by examining elements of the citation such as title, abstract, subject headings, source, and date of publication.
Figure 4.4 (continued) Objectives and Outcomes from ACRL Standard 2 Measured by the SAILS Test

2.4.1.4 Determines the relevance of an item to the information need in terms of its depth of coverage, language, and time frame.

2.5.1 Selects among various technologies the most appropriate one for the task of extracting the needed information (e.g., copy/paste software functions, photocopier, scanner, audio/visual equipment, or exploratory instruments)

2.5.3.1 Identifies different types of information sources cited in a research tool.

2.5.3.3 Demonstrates an understanding that different disciplines may use different citation styles.

2.5.5 Uses various technologies to manage the information selected and organized
Figure 4.5 Objectives and Outcomes from ACRL Standard 3 Measured by the SAILS Test

Standard 3: Evaluates Information and Its Sources Critically and Incorporates Selected Information Into His or Her Knowledge Base and Value System.

The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

3.2.1.1 Locates and examines critical reviews of information sources using available resources and technologies.
3.2.1.2 Investigates an author's qualifications and reputation through reviews or biographical sources.
3.2.1.3 Investigates validity and accuracy by consulting sources identified through bibliographic references.
3.2.1.8 Demonstrates an understanding that other sources may provide additional information to either confirm or question point of view or bias.
3.2.3.1 Demonstrates an understanding that information in any format reflects an author's, sponsor's, and/or publisher's point of view.
3.2.3.2 Demonstrates an understanding that some information and information sources may present a one-sided view and may express opinions rather than facts.
3.2.3.3 Demonstrates an understanding that some information and sources may be designed to trigger emotions, conjure stereotypes, or promote support for a particular viewpoint or group.
3.2.3.5 Searches for independent verification or corroboration of the accuracy and completeness of the data or representation of facts presented in an information source.
3.4.1 Determines whether information satisfies the research or other information need
3.4.5.2 Determines when a single search strategy may not fit a topic precisely enough to retrieve sufficient relevant information.
3.4.5.3 Determines when some topics may be too recent to be covered by some standard tools (e.g., a periodicals index) and when information on the topic retrieved by less authoritative tools (e.g., a Web search engine) may not be reliable.
3.4.7.2 Distinguishes among various information sources in terms of established evaluation criteria (e.g., content, authority, currency).
3.6.3 Seeks expert opinion through a variety of mechanisms (e.g., interviews, email, listservs)
3.7.2.1 Demonstrates how searches may be limited or expanded by modifying search terminology or logic.
3.7.3.1 Examines footnotes and bibliographies from retrieved items to locate additional sources.
Figure 4.6 Objectives and Outcomes from ACRL Standard 5 Measured by the SAILS Test


The numbering refers to the ACRL documents: the first digit is the ACRL standard, the second is the ACRL performance indicator, the third is the ACRL outcome, and the fourth is the ACRL objective.

5.1.1 Identifies and discusses issues related to privacy and security in both the print and electronic environments
5.1.2.1 Demonstrates an understanding that not all information on the Web is free, i.e., some Web-based databases require users to pay a fee or to subscribe in order to retrieve full text or other content.
5.1.2.2 Demonstrates awareness that the library pays for access to databases, information tools, full-text resources, etc., and may use the Web to deliver them to its clientele.
5.1.2.3 Describes how the terms of subscriptions or licenses may limit their use to a particular clientele or location.
5.1.3 Identifies and discusses issues related to censorship and freedom of speech
5.1.4 Demonstrates an understanding of intellectual property, copyright, and fair use of copyrighted material
5.2.1 Participates in electronic discussions following accepted practices (e.g. "Netiquette")
5.2.5 Legally obtains, stores, and disseminates text, data, images, or sounds
5.2.6 Demonstrates an understanding of what constitutes plagiarism and does not represent work attributable to others as his/her own
5.2.7 Demonstrates an understanding of institutional policies related to human subjects research
5.3.1.2 Identifies citation elements for information sources in different formats (e.g., book, article, television program, Web page, interview).
5.3.1.3 Demonstrates an understanding that there are different documentation styles, published or accepted by various groups
5.3.1.5 Describes when the format of the source cited may dictate a certain citation style.
5.3.1.7 Locates information about documentation styles either in print or electronically, e.g., through the library's Web site.
5.3.1.8 Recognizes that consistency of citation format is important, especially if a course instructor has not required a particular style.
APPENDIX A

About Project SAILS

Project SAILS began when a team of librarians at Kent State University identified a need to measure information literacy skills of students. The need emerged where the demand for increased accountability, the call for continual assessment, and the growing information literacy movement met. Several important questions arose: Does information literacy affect student success? Where do students learn their information literacy skills? What role does the library play in information literacy levels of students? Are the resources allocated to library instruction worthwhile for the university? Answers to these questions require intensive and careful investigation. And the investigation must begin with the answer to a seemingly simple question: How information literate are our students?

To answer that basic question, the project team created the Standardized Assessment of Information Literacy Skills (SAILS). Over the course of six years, the team, in close collaboration with its partners, developed a test that:

- is valid and reliable
- is based on the Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education, published by the Association of College and Research Libraries
- is comprised of carefully written and tested items
- is easy to administer on a large scale
- offers internal and external benchmarking
- results in data reports that clearly describe performance of groups of students

The information provided by the SAILS test, coupled with knowledge of and interpretation by the local institution, will allow librarians to investigate the larger questions about the effect of information literacy on student success. Libraries that utilize SAILS will be able to document information literacy skill levels, establish internal and peer benchmarks of performance, pinpoint areas for improvement, identify and justify resource needs, and assess and demonstrate the effects of changes in their instructional programs. Librarians will be able to clarify for themselves and their institutions the role that information literacy plays in student success and retention.

Project SAILS was created at Kent State University in the state of Ohio in the United States. The project received significant support from Kent State University, the Association of Research Libraries, the Ohio Board of Regents, the Institute of Museum and Library Services, and the many colleges and universities that have participated in the project. Project SAILS is now licensed by Kent State University to Carrick Enterprises, a company created by the original developers of SAILS.

For more information, please visit our web site: https://www.ProjectSAILS.org
## APPENDIX B

### List of Institutions in the All-Institutions Benchmark

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Abilene Christian University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ashford University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Baker University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Baldwin-Wallace College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Bowie State University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Butler County Community College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. California State Polytechnic University, Pomona</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. California State University, Fresno</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. California State University, Los Angeles</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Central Methodist University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. CETYS University</td>
<td>MX</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Curry College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. East Central University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Eckerd College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Harrisburg University of Science and Technology</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Johnson &amp; Wales University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Kaiser Permanente School of Allied Health Sciences</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Loyola University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Lynchburg College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Molloy College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Mount St. Mary's University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Northern State University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Palm Beach State College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Patrick Henry College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Pepperdine University Library</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Pikeville College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Samford University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. St. Johns River State College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. The Culinary Institute of America</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. The University of Utah</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Thomas College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Thomas Edison State College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. University of Lethbridge</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. University of Maine at Farmington</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. University of Montevallo</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. University of San Francisco</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. University of Tennessee at Martin</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. University of Valley Forge</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41. University of Virgin Islands</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Valencia Community College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. William Jessup University</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Baccalaureate - Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Wor-Wic Community College</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Associates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B - List of Institutions in the All-Institutions Benchmark
## APPENDIX C

### Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Standing</th>
<th>Abilene Christian University Cornerstone Fall 2015</th>
<th>Abilene Christian University Capstone 2015-16</th>
<th>Ashford University ENG122 Fall 2015</th>
<th>Ashford University GEN499 Fall 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>(n=561)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(n=346)</td>
<td>(n=2,768)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>10 1.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>520 18.8</td>
<td>33 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>1 0.2</td>
<td>31 9.0</td>
<td>336 12.1</td>
<td>334 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>313 90.5</td>
<td>55 2.0</td>
<td>2,478 84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 0.3</td>
<td>181 6.5</td>
<td>69 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>16 2.9</td>
<td>15 4.3</td>
<td>13 0.5</td>
<td>20 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>9 1.6</td>
<td>1 0.3</td>
<td>4 0.1</td>
<td>1 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>91 16.2</td>
<td>104 30.1</td>
<td>756 27.3</td>
<td>878 30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>15 2.7</td>
<td>5 1.4</td>
<td>36 1.3</td>
<td>51 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>33 5.9</td>
<td>9 2.6</td>
<td>406 14.7</td>
<td>522 17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>40 7.1</td>
<td>15 4.3</td>
<td>9 0.3</td>
<td>6 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>1 0.2</td>
<td>1 0.3</td>
<td>21 0.8</td>
<td>24 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>127 22.6</td>
<td>70 20.2</td>
<td>265 9.6</td>
<td>271 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>7 1.2</td>
<td>4 1.2</td>
<td>24 0.9</td>
<td>32 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>11 2.0</td>
<td>14 4.0</td>
<td>24 0.9</td>
<td>39 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>81 2.9</td>
<td>59 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>12 0.4</td>
<td>8 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>23 4.1</td>
<td>28 8.1</td>
<td>6 0.2</td>
<td>9 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>64 11.4</td>
<td>40 11.6</td>
<td>8 0.3</td>
<td>10 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>47 8.4</td>
<td>23 6.6</td>
<td>366 13.2</td>
<td>499 17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>45 8.0</td>
<td>17 4.9</td>
<td>692 25.0</td>
<td>481 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>32 5.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>45 1.6</td>
<td>8 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Ashford University ENG122 Spring 2016</td>
<td>Ashford University GEN499 Spring 2016</td>
<td>Ashford University ENG122 Fall 2016</td>
<td>Ashford University GEN499 Fall 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=2,607)</td>
<td>(n=2,447)</td>
<td>(n=3,877)</td>
<td>(n=2,503)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>1,609</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2,169</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Ashford University ENG122 Spring 2017</th>
<th>Ashford University GEN499 Spring 2017</th>
<th>Ashford University ENG122 Fall 2017</th>
<th>Ashford University GEN499 Fall 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>(n=2,423)</td>
<td>(n=1,492)</td>
<td>(n=1,980)</td>
<td>(n=1,286)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>1,514 (62.5%)</td>
<td>1,225 (61.9%)</td>
<td>1,312 (87.9%)</td>
<td>1,094 (85.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>438 (18.1%)</td>
<td>373 (18.8%)</td>
<td>357 (24.8%)</td>
<td>355 (27.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>259 (10.7%)</td>
<td>194 (9.8%)</td>
<td>199 (13.0%)</td>
<td>130 (10.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>34 (1.4%)</td>
<td>25 (1.3%)</td>
<td>172 (10.8%)</td>
<td>236 (18.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>178 (7.3%)</td>
<td>163 (8.2%)</td>
<td>173 (10.8%)</td>
<td>213 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>14 (0.6%)</td>
<td>14 (0.9%)</td>
<td>11 (0.6%)</td>
<td>11 (0.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>654 (27.0%)</td>
<td>477 (32.0%)</td>
<td>492 (24.8%)</td>
<td>355 (27.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>45 (1.9%)</td>
<td>25 (1.7%)</td>
<td>19 (1.0%)</td>
<td>23 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>461 (19.0%)</td>
<td>225 (15.1%)</td>
<td>346 (17.5%)</td>
<td>204 (15.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>10 (0.4%)</td>
<td>5 (0.3%)</td>
<td>17 (0.9%)</td>
<td>2 (0.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>14 (0.6%)</td>
<td>14 (0.7%)</td>
<td>10 (0.7%)</td>
<td>10 (0.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>177 (7.3%)</td>
<td>117 (7.8%)</td>
<td>179 (9.0%)</td>
<td>99 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>16 (0.7%)</td>
<td>17 (1.1%)</td>
<td>13 (0.7%)</td>
<td>17 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>19 (0.8%)</td>
<td>11 (0.7%)</td>
<td>14 (0.7%)</td>
<td>17 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>66 (2.7%)</td>
<td>37 (2.5%)</td>
<td>65 (3.3%)</td>
<td>27 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>10 (0.4%)</td>
<td>5 (0.3%)</td>
<td>13 (0.7%)</td>
<td>8 (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>6 (0.2%)</td>
<td>2 (0.1%)</td>
<td>9 (0.5%)</td>
<td>4 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>6 (0.2%)</td>
<td>2 (0.1%)</td>
<td>13 (0.7%)</td>
<td>2 (0.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>299 (12.3%)</td>
<td>226 (15.1%)</td>
<td>252 (12.7%)</td>
<td>192 (14.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>588 (24.3%)</td>
<td>304 (20.4%)</td>
<td>467 (23.6%)</td>
<td>312 (24.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>38 (1.6%)</td>
<td>4 (0.3%)</td>
<td>56 (2.8%)</td>
<td>3 (0.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Ashford University GEN103 Spring 2018</td>
<td>Ashford University GEN499 Spring 2018</td>
<td>Baker University 2015 Fall CASFreshman</td>
<td>Baldwin-Wallace College 2015 Freshman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=3,955)</td>
<td>(n=1,270)</td>
<td>(n=42)</td>
<td>(n=57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>2,234 56.5</td>
<td>4 0.3</td>
<td>42 100.0</td>
<td>57 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>636 16.1</td>
<td>21 1.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>643 16.3</td>
<td>137 10.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>133 3.4</td>
<td>1,076 84.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>309 7.8</td>
<td>32 2.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>21 0.5</td>
<td>8 0.6</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>1,169 29.6</td>
<td>366 28.8</td>
<td>8 19.0</td>
<td>8 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>80 2.0</td>
<td>31 2.4</td>
<td>2 4.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>751 19.0</td>
<td>222 17.5</td>
<td>8 19.0</td>
<td>3 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>47 1.2</td>
<td>5 0.4</td>
<td>2 4.8</td>
<td>2 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>23 0.6</td>
<td>15 1.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>313 7.9</td>
<td>72 5.7</td>
<td>10 23.8</td>
<td>6 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>24 0.6</td>
<td>19 1.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>29 0.7</td>
<td>7 0.6</td>
<td>1 2.4</td>
<td>3 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>82 2.1</td>
<td>24 1.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>14 0.4</td>
<td>4 0.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>8 0.2</td>
<td>5 0.4</td>
<td>2 4.8</td>
<td>6 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>10 0.3</td>
<td>4 0.3</td>
<td>2 4.8</td>
<td>4 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>510 12.9</td>
<td>176 13.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>840 21.2</td>
<td>307 24.2</td>
<td>5 11.9</td>
<td>8 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>33 0.8</td>
<td>5 0.4</td>
<td>2 4.8</td>
<td>11 19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
### Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=60)</td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=42)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=27)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>60 100.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>60 100.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>27 100.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Student Major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Major</th>
<th>Baldwin-Wallace College 2015 Seniors</th>
<th>Baldwin-Wallace College Psychology FR 15</th>
<th>Baldwin-Wallace College Psychology SR 16</th>
<th>Baldwin-Wallace College FR 2016FA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=60)</td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=42)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=27)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>1 1.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>7 11.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>5 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>4 6.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>5 8.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>3 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>6 10.0</td>
<td>1 2.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>5 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>1 1.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>6 10.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>5 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>8 13.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>14 23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>7 11.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>4 6.7</td>
<td>40 95.2</td>
<td>27 100.0</td>
<td>4 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11 18.3</td>
<td>1 2.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>8 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Baldwin- Wallace College</th>
<th>Baldwin- Wallace College</th>
<th>Baldwin- Wallace College</th>
<th>Bowie State University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=57)</td>
<td>Fall 2017 (n=49)</td>
<td>Fall 2017 (n=49)</td>
<td>Spring 2018 (n=128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Butler County Community College Gen Ed Fall 16</th>
<th>Butler County Community College Gen Ed Spring 17</th>
<th>California State Polytechnic University, Pomona Initial WASC CPP</th>
<th>California State University, Fresno Fall 2015 Freshmen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>(n=100)</td>
<td>(n=99)</td>
<td>(n=45)</td>
<td>(n=204)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>93 93.0</td>
<td>79 79.8</td>
<td>5 11.1</td>
<td>200 98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>4 4.0</td>
<td>14 14.1</td>
<td>1 2.2</td>
<td>3 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>17 37.8</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>22 48.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 3.0</td>
<td>6 6.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 4.4</td>
<td>12 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 2.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>16 16.0</td>
<td>21 21.2</td>
<td>11 24.4</td>
<td>21 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>3 3.0</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2 2.0</td>
<td>8 8.1</td>
<td>3 6.7</td>
<td>17 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>9 9.0</td>
<td>3 3.0</td>
<td>13 28.9</td>
<td>15 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>13 13.0</td>
<td>8 8.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>13 13.0</td>
<td>13 13.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>46 22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 2.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>2 2.0</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>2 4.4</td>
<td>11 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>3 3.0</td>
<td>3 3.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>5 5.0</td>
<td>2 2.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>41 20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>8 8.0</td>
<td>14 14.1</td>
<td>5 11.1</td>
<td>19 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21 21.0</td>
<td>20 20.2</td>
<td>8 17.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>5 5.0</td>
<td>3 3.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>22 10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Standing</th>
<th>Spring 2016 (n=314)</th>
<th>Fall 2016 (n=190)</th>
<th>Spring 2017 (n=224)</th>
<th>Spring 2018 (n=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>188 98.9</td>
<td>1 0.4</td>
<td>56 94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>1 0.3</td>
<td>2 1.1</td>
<td>1 0.4</td>
<td>3 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>25 8.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>19 8.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>279 88.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>200 89.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9 2.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>3 1.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Major</th>
<th>Spring 2016 (n=314)</th>
<th>Fall 2016 (n=190)</th>
<th>Spring 2017 (n=224)</th>
<th>Spring 2018 (n=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>19 6.1</td>
<td>8 4.2</td>
<td>19 8.5</td>
<td>6 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>55 17.5</td>
<td>26 13.7</td>
<td>34 15.2</td>
<td>11 18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>28 8.9</td>
<td>18 9.5</td>
<td>13 5.8</td>
<td>1 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>15 4.8</td>
<td>22 11.6</td>
<td>14 6.3</td>
<td>4 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>61 19.4</td>
<td>34 17.9</td>
<td>56 25.0</td>
<td>12 20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>26 8.3</td>
<td>7 3.7</td>
<td>17 7.6</td>
<td>2 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>55 17.5</td>
<td>39 20.5</td>
<td>34 15.2</td>
<td>9 15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>54 17.2</td>
<td>20 10.5</td>
<td>33 14.7</td>
<td>11 18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>1 0.3</td>
<td>16 8.4</td>
<td>4 1.8</td>
<td>3 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Standing</th>
<th>Fall 2015 (n=99)</th>
<th>Spring 2017 (n=147)</th>
<th>Spring 2016 (n=59)</th>
<th>Spring 2018 (n=159)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Student Major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Fall 2015 (n=99)</th>
<th>Spring 2017 (n=147)</th>
<th>Spring 2016 (n=59)</th>
<th>Spring 2018 (n=159)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Central Methodist University Spring 2016 (n=49)</td>
<td>Central Methodist University Fall 2016</td>
<td>Central Methodist University Spring 2017 (n=81)</td>
<td>Central Methodist University Fall 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Class Standing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spring 2017</th>
<th>Spring 2018</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=118)</td>
<td>(n=131)</td>
<td>(n=52)</td>
<td>(n=134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Student Major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spring 2017</th>
<th>Spring 2018</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=118)</td>
<td>(n=131)</td>
<td>(n=52)</td>
<td>(n=134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>CETYS University Campus Tijuana</td>
<td>Curry College FYI (Not so Famous)</td>
<td>Curry College FYI GLives Spring 17</td>
<td>East Central University 2015 Fall UNIV 1001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2017 (n=106)</td>
<td>Fall 2016 (n=50)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=57)</td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=607)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>106 100.0</td>
<td>50 100.0</td>
<td>57 100.0</td>
<td>603 99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>4 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>13 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>30 28.3</td>
<td>14 28.0</td>
<td>14 24.6</td>
<td>83 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>15 26.3</td>
<td>11 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 3.5</td>
<td>27 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>63 59.4</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>69 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 12.0</td>
<td>4 7.0</td>
<td>87 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>4 7.0</td>
<td>4 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>10 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>2 1.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>18 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>17 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>62 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>10 9.4</td>
<td>4 8.0</td>
<td>3 5.3</td>
<td>20 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 0.9</td>
<td>18 36.0</td>
<td>7 12.3</td>
<td>163 26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 12.0</td>
<td>8 14.0</td>
<td>21 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>East Central University 2015 Fall UNIV 3001</th>
<th>East Central University 2016 Fall UNIV 1001</th>
<th>Eckerd College Freshman 2015</th>
<th>Eckerd College Seniors 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
## Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Eckerd College SAILS 2016 Freshmen</th>
<th>Eckerd College SAILS 2016 Seniors</th>
<th>Eckerd College 2017 Freshmen</th>
<th>Eckerd College 2017 Seniors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>Fall 2016 (n=109)</td>
<td>Fall 2016 (n=81)</td>
<td>Fall 2017 (n=102)</td>
<td>Fall 2017 (n=97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>109 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>101 (99.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>81 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>95 (97.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>Environmental Studies 15 (13.8)</td>
<td>10 (12.3)</td>
<td>16 (15.7)</td>
<td>17 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>10 (9.2)</td>
<td>11 (13.6)</td>
<td>8 (7.8)</td>
<td>16 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>4 (3.7)</td>
<td>4 (4.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>5 (4.6)</td>
<td>4 (4.9)</td>
<td>4 (3.9)</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>34 (31.2)</td>
<td>23 (28.4)</td>
<td>33 (32.4)</td>
<td>25 (25.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>13 (11.9)</td>
<td>17 (21.0)</td>
<td>6 (5.9)</td>
<td>17 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10 (9.2)</td>
<td>11 (13.6)</td>
<td>17 (16.7)</td>
<td>7 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>16 (14.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>16 (15.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Harrisburg University of Science and Technology SU2015-SP2016</th>
<th>Johnson &amp; Wales University JWU Spring 2016</th>
<th>Johnson &amp; Wales University JWU Spring 2017</th>
<th>Kaiser Permanente School of Allied Health Sciences Admin Testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=113)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=893)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=844)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>61 (54.0)</td>
<td>275 (30.8)</td>
<td>222 (26.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>5 (4.4)</td>
<td>161 (18.0)</td>
<td>182 (21.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>37 (32.7)</td>
<td>74 (8.3)</td>
<td>134 (15.9)</td>
<td>61 (74.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>9 (8.0)</td>
<td>375 (42.0)</td>
<td>305 (36.1)</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>8 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>19 (23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>10 (1.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>3 (2.7)</td>
<td>229 (25.6)</td>
<td>247 (29.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>9 (1.0)</td>
<td>9 (1.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>41 (36.3)</td>
<td>23 (2.6)</td>
<td>6 (0.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>6 (0.7)</td>
<td>4 (0.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>3 (2.7)</td>
<td>52 (5.8)</td>
<td>45 (5.3)</td>
<td>82 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>4 (0.4)</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>26 (2.9)</td>
<td>61 (7.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>41 (36.3)</td>
<td>4 (0.4)</td>
<td>6 (0.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>9 (1.0)</td>
<td>42 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19 (16.8)</td>
<td>510 (57.1)</td>
<td>390 (46.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>3 (2.7)</td>
<td>20 (2.2)</td>
<td>21 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Kaiser Permanente School of Allied Health Sciences 2018 Info Lit Cohort Spring 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class Standing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Major</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lynchburg College Spring 2018</th>
<th>Manchester Community College MCC Fall 2015</th>
<th>Molloy College Fall 2015</th>
<th>Mount St. Mary's University Fall Freshmen 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>144.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>77.15.4</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Class Standing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Northern State University 2015 Freshmen</th>
<th>Northern State University 2015 Upperclassmen</th>
<th>Northern State University Freshman 2016</th>
<th>Northern State University Freshman FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=65)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=50)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=96)</td>
<td>Spring 2018 (n=153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>65 100.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>20 20.8</td>
<td>150 98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>7 7.3</td>
<td>3 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 12.0</td>
<td>17 17.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>43 86.0</td>
<td>50 52.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 2.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Student Major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Northern State University 2015 Freshmen</th>
<th>Northern State University 2015 Upperclassmen</th>
<th>Northern State University Freshman 2016</th>
<th>Northern State University Freshman FY18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=65)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=50)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=96)</td>
<td>Spring 2018 (n=153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>5 7.7</td>
<td>3 6.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>5 10.0</td>
<td>4 4.2</td>
<td>44 28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>2 3.1</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>2 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>11 16.9</td>
<td>4 8.0</td>
<td>3 3.1</td>
<td>48 31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>2 3.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>7 10.8</td>
<td>5 10.0</td>
<td>7 7.3</td>
<td>3 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>2 3.1</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>15 15.6</td>
<td>5 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>1 1.5</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>1 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>3 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>4 6.2</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>10 10.4</td>
<td>3 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>19 29.2</td>
<td>28 56.0</td>
<td>24 25.0</td>
<td>7 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>11 16.9</td>
<td>1 2.0</td>
<td>22 22.9</td>
<td>8 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>7 7.3</td>
<td>13 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>1 1.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>13 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Northern State University Upperclass FY18</td>
<td>Palm Beach State College Spring 2016 ENC1102</td>
<td>Palm Beach State College Spring 2017 ENC 1102</td>
<td>Patrick Henry College 2016SP Commencement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
## Characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patrick Henry College 2016F Incoming</th>
<th>Patrick Henry College 2018Sp Commencing</th>
<th>Pepperdine University Library 2015 Fall Freshman</th>
<th>Pepperdine University Library 2015 Fall Senior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 2016 (n=55)</td>
<td>Spring 2018 (n=60)</td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=246)</td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=179)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>52 94.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>246 100.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>2 3.6</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>1 1.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>60 100.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>179 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>4 7.3</td>
<td>5 8.3</td>
<td>62 25.2</td>
<td>20 11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>5 9.1</td>
<td>5 8.3</td>
<td>37 15.0</td>
<td>32 17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>3 5.5</td>
<td>1 1.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>1 1.8</td>
<td>6 10.0</td>
<td>2 0.8</td>
<td>1 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>5 9.1</td>
<td>5 8.3</td>
<td>15 6.1</td>
<td>21 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>21 38.2</td>
<td>13 21.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>16 6.5</td>
<td>8 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>58 23.6</td>
<td>42 23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>16 29.1</td>
<td>25 41.7</td>
<td>22 8.9</td>
<td>39 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>9 3.7</td>
<td>16 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>25 10.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Pikeville College Complete Eng. 2016</td>
<td>Pikeville College Grad 16</td>
<td>Pikeville College CompEng2017</td>
<td>Pikeville College Grads17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>60 30.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>16 22.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>101 51.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>37 52.1</td>
<td>2 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>30 15.4</td>
<td>2 1.0</td>
<td>16 22.5</td>
<td>2 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>4 2.1</td>
<td>188 97.4</td>
<td>2 2.8</td>
<td>186 97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>3 1.6</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
<td>1 1.4</td>
<td>2 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>32 16.4</td>
<td>31 16.1</td>
<td>11 15.5</td>
<td>36 18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>13 6.7</td>
<td>23 11.9</td>
<td>3 4.2</td>
<td>16 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>22 11.3</td>
<td>11 5.7</td>
<td>11 15.5</td>
<td>12 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>3 1.5</td>
<td>4 2.1</td>
<td>1 1.4</td>
<td>2 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>23 11.8</td>
<td>12 6.2</td>
<td>3 4.2</td>
<td>21 11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>6 3.1</td>
<td>9 4.7</td>
<td>3 4.2</td>
<td>6 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>2 1.0</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>7 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>10 5.1</td>
<td>4 2.1</td>
<td>3 4.2</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 1.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>31 15.9</td>
<td>36 18.7</td>
<td>15 21.1</td>
<td>34 17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>24 12.3</td>
<td>46 23.8</td>
<td>8 11.3</td>
<td>38 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>26 13.3</td>
<td>14 7.3</td>
<td>10 14.1</td>
<td>14 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>2 1.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1 1.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
### Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Standing</th>
<th>Pikeville College Grads 2018</th>
<th>Samford University Spring 2017</th>
<th>St. Johns River State College Spring 2016 ENC 1102</th>
<th>St. Johns River State College Spring 2017 ENC 1102</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=214)</td>
<td>(n=373)</td>
<td>(n=77)</td>
<td>(n=65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>101 (27.1)</td>
<td>52 (67.5)</td>
<td>49 (75.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>3 (1.4)</td>
<td>81 (21.7)</td>
<td>14 (18.2)</td>
<td>11 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>5 (2.3)</td>
<td>73 (19.6)</td>
<td>3 (3.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>204 (95.3)</td>
<td>117 (31.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>8 (10.4)</td>
<td>4 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Major</th>
<th>Pikeville College Grads 2018</th>
<th>Samford University Spring 2017</th>
<th>St. Johns River State College Spring 2016 ENC 1102</th>
<th>St. Johns River State College Spring 2017 ENC 1102</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=214)</td>
<td>(n=373)</td>
<td>(n=77)</td>
<td>(n=65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>34 (15.9)</td>
<td>67 (18.0)</td>
<td>9 (11.7)</td>
<td>7 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>14 (6.5)</td>
<td>23 (6.2)</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
<td>2 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>15 (7.0)</td>
<td>21 (5.6)</td>
<td>7 (9.1)</td>
<td>4 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td>3 (3.9)</td>
<td>9 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>36 (16.8)</td>
<td>92 (24.7)</td>
<td>11 (14.3)</td>
<td>8 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>4 (1.9)</td>
<td>8 (2.1)</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>4 (1.9)</td>
<td>21 (5.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>6 (2.8)</td>
<td>2 (0.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>21 (5.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
<td>2 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>41 (19.2)</td>
<td>31 (8.3)</td>
<td>6 (7.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>37 (17.3)</td>
<td>27 (7.2)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19 (8.9)</td>
<td>43 (11.5)</td>
<td>9 (11.7)</td>
<td>9 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td>23 (29.9)</td>
<td>16 (24.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>St. Johns River State College ENC 1102 Spring 2018</th>
<th>The Culinary Institute of America AOS Fall 2015</th>
<th>The Culinary Institute of America BPS_spring2016</th>
<th>The University of Utah Utah LEAP Program Spring 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>n (n=86)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (n=101)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
### Class Standing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thomas College Fall 2015 (n=201)</th>
<th>Thomas College Spring 2016 (n=139)</th>
<th>Thomas College Fall 2016 (n=219)</th>
<th>Thomas College Freshmen Spring 2017 (n=66)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>85.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Student Major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thomas College Fall 2015 (n=201)</th>
<th>Thomas College Spring 2016 (n=139)</th>
<th>Thomas College Fall 2016 (n=219)</th>
<th>Thomas College Freshmen Spring 2017 (n=66)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Thomas College Fall 2017</th>
<th>Thomas College Spring 2018 FY</th>
<th>Thomas Edison State College AY2016</th>
<th>Thomas Edison State College AY2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>195 (93.8%)</td>
<td>112 (93.3%)</td>
<td>74 (12.7%)</td>
<td>67 (11.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>8 (3.8%)</td>
<td>2 (1.7%)</td>
<td>50 (8.6%)</td>
<td>59 (10.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>5 (2.4%)</td>
<td>5 (4.2%)</td>
<td>148 (25.4%)</td>
<td>141 (24.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>168 (28.9%)</td>
<td>150 (26.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.8%)</td>
<td>142 (24.4%)</td>
<td>158 (27.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>47 (22.6%)</td>
<td>41 (34.2%)</td>
<td>96 (16.5%)</td>
<td>69 (12.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>4 (1.9%)</td>
<td>3 (2.5%)</td>
<td>11 (1.9%)</td>
<td>10 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>24 (11.5%)</td>
<td>19 (15.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>13 (6.3%)</td>
<td>12 (10.0%)</td>
<td>118 (20.3%)</td>
<td>181 (31.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>2 (1.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.8%)</td>
<td>7 (1.2%)</td>
<td>13 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>10 (8.3%)</td>
<td>103 (17.7%)</td>
<td>83 (14.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.8%)</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>4 (0.7%)</td>
<td>3 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>2 (1.0%)</td>
<td>15 (12.5%)</td>
<td>2 (0.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (0.5%)</td>
<td>2 (0.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.2%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.8%)</td>
<td>22 (3.8%)</td>
<td>27 (4.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>26 (12.5%)</td>
<td>6 (5.0%)</td>
<td>85 (14.6%)</td>
<td>65 (11.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>81 (38.9%)</td>
<td>8 (6.7%)</td>
<td>127 (21.8%)</td>
<td>119 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>9 (4.3%)</td>
<td>3 (2.5%)</td>
<td>2 (0.3%)</td>
<td>3 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
## Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Thomas Edison State College AY2018</th>
<th>University of Lethbridge Fall 2015 Post-Test</th>
<th>University of Lethbridge Fall 2015 Pre-Test</th>
<th>University of Maine at Farmington Senior 15-16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2018 (n=552)</td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=84)</td>
<td>Fall 2015 (n=87)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81 14.7</td>
<td>53 63.1</td>
<td>59 67.8</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37 6.7</td>
<td>22 26.2</td>
<td>18 20.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>129 23.4</td>
<td>5 6.0</td>
<td>6 6.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>144 26.1</td>
<td>1 1.2</td>
<td>1 1.1</td>
<td>32 100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>161 29.2</td>
<td>3 3.6</td>
<td>3 3.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 0.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 12.7</td>
<td>9 10.7</td>
<td>10 11.5</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 1.3</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 0.2</td>
<td>9 10.7</td>
<td>15 17.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>142 25.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 2.0</td>
<td>3 3.6</td>
<td>1 1.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>109 19.7</td>
<td>1 1.2</td>
<td>5 5.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>History</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 0.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 0.7</td>
<td>4 4.8</td>
<td>5 5.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Politics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 0.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 0.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>6 7.1</td>
<td>5 5.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32 5.8</td>
<td>28 33.3</td>
<td>23 26.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53 9.6</td>
<td>17 20.2</td>
<td>15 17.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112 20.3</td>
<td>6 7.1</td>
<td>5 5.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 0.4</td>
<td>1 1.2</td>
<td>3 3.4</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>32 100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=280)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=351)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=327)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=260)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>72.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Major</th>
<th>University of Montevallo UM2015-2016</th>
<th>University of Montevallo UM2015-2016 MASTERY</th>
<th>University of Montevallo UM2016-2017 Found</th>
<th>University of Montevallo UM2016-2017 Mastery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=280)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=351)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=327)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=260)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>University of Montevallo UM2017_2018 Found</th>
<th>University of Montevallo UM2017_2018 Mastery</th>
<th>University of San Francisco 2017 Spring Seniors</th>
<th>University of San Francisco USF Spring 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>342 (94.7%)</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>52 (43.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>11 (3.0%)</td>
<td>7 (2.4%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>4 (1.1%)</td>
<td>44 (14.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
<td>241 (81.4%)</td>
<td>61 (100.0%)</td>
<td>68 (56.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (0.8%)</td>
<td>3 (1.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (3.3%)</td>
<td>1 (0.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>2 (1.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>57 (15.8%)</td>
<td>41 (13.9%)</td>
<td>21 (34.4%)</td>
<td>25 (20.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>14 (3.9%)</td>
<td>21 (7.1%)</td>
<td>3 (4.9%)</td>
<td>3 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>35 (9.7%)</td>
<td>24 (8.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>6 (1.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (4.9%)</td>
<td>6 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>12 (3.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>23 (6.4%)</td>
<td>4 (1.4%)</td>
<td>4 (6.6%)</td>
<td>30 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>6 (1.7%)</td>
<td>16 (5.4%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>11 (3.7%)</td>
<td>7 (11.5%)</td>
<td>7 (5.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (4.9%)</td>
<td>7 (5.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>47 (13.0%)</td>
<td>35 (11.8%)</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
<td>3 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>42 (11.6%)</td>
<td>13 (4.4%)</td>
<td>7 (11.5%)</td>
<td>12 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>43 (11.9%)</td>
<td>77 (26.0%)</td>
<td>9 (14.8%)</td>
<td>23 (19.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>44 (12.2%)</td>
<td>52 (17.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>30 (8.3%)</td>
<td>2 (0.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>University of Tennessee at Martin</th>
<th>University of Valley Forge 2015-2016</th>
<th>University of Valley Forge 2016-2017</th>
<th>University of Valley Forge 2017-2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=101)</td>
<td>Spring 2016 (n=75)</td>
<td>Spring 2017 (n=119)</td>
<td>Spring 2018 (n=62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Standing</td>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>23 22.8</td>
<td>107 89.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>8 7.9</td>
<td>12 10.1</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>38 37.6</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>32 31.7</td>
<td>75 100.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Major</td>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>5 5.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>46 45.5</td>
<td>6 8.0</td>
<td>17 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>7 6.9</td>
<td>10 13.3</td>
<td>14 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>1 1.3</td>
<td>19 16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>2 2.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>6 5.9</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>History</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>2 2.7</td>
<td>1 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>4 4.0</td>
<td>10 13.3</td>
<td>14 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>4 4.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>18 17.8</td>
<td>14 18.7</td>
<td>28 23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6 5.9</td>
<td>32 42.7</td>
<td>26 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>1 1.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>University of Virgin Islands Fall 2016 Seniors (n=107)</td>
<td>Valencia Community College 2016 SAILS Trial (n=262)</td>
<td>William Jessup University 2015-16 SPS and TUG Spring 2016 (n=163)</td>
<td>Wor-Wic Community College Fall 2015 (n=102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class Standing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Major</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C - Test-Taker Profiles for Each Administration
### Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Standing</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshman</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophomore</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Major</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Studies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History/Architecture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business/Management</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing/Health Sciences</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Naval Science</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing &amp; Fine Arts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science/Math</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences/Psychology</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX D

### SAILS Test Item Numbers for Each SAILS Skill Set Subscale and ACRL Standard Subscale

**Skill Set: Developing a Research Strategy**  

**Skill Set: Selecting Finding Tools**  
18 items: 19, 22, 64, 139, 142, 141, 257, 140, 519, 521, 522, 523, 545, 584, 602, 613, 623, 645

**Skill Set: Searching**  

**Skill Set: Using Finding Tool Features**  
14 items: 42, 62, 71, 259, 525, 526, 527, 549, 520, 540, 579, 593, 640, 647

**Skill Set: Retrieving Sources**  
15 items: 25, 29, 30, 93, 104, 106, 192, 194, 195, 214, 216, 229, 539, 524, 600

**Skill Set: Evaluating Sources**  
21 items: 27, 87, 91, 92, 124, 150, 206, 227, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 558, 563, 609, 620, 624, 628, 631, 632

**Skill Set: Documenting Sources**  
15 items: 44, 49, 60, 199, 512, 528, 557, 560, 583, 589, 619, 622, 625, 634, 636
## Skill Set: Understanding Economic, Legal, and Social Issues


## Standard 1: Determines the Nature and Extent of the Information Needed

39 items: 27, 30, 63, 64, 73, 93, 95, 101, 104, 106, 147, 148, 198, 215, 242, 451, 452, 524, 529, 531, 537, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 594, 600, 601, 603, 617, 624, 629, 632, 633, 637, 641, 642, 646

## Standard 2: Accesses Needed Information Effectively and Efficiently


## Standard 3: Evaluates Information and Its Sources Critically and Incorporates Selected Information Into His or Her Knowledge Base and Value System

21 items: 28, 87, 91, 92, 124, 206, 218, 227, 533, 536, 538, 558, 563, 602, 609, 620, 623, 628, 630, 631, 645

## Standard 5: Understands Many of the Economic, Legal, and Social Issues Surrounding the Use of Information and Accesses and Uses Information Ethically and Legally


---

Appendix D - SAILS Test Item Numbers
APPENDIX E

Association of College and Research Libraries
Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education
Standards, Performance Indicators, and Outcomes

Objectives for Information Literacy Instruction:
A Model Statement for Academic Librarians

Standard 1
The information literate student determines the nature and extent of the information needed.

Performance Indicators
1.1 The information literate student defines and articulates the need for information.

Outcomes
1.1.1 Confers with instructors and participates in class discussions, peer workgroups and electronic discussions to identify a research topic, or other information need
642
1.1.2 Develops a thesis statement and formulates questions based on the information need
1.1.3 Explores general information sources to increase familiarity with the topic.

Objectives
1.1.3.1 Describes the difference between general and subject-specific information sources.
1.1.3.2 Demonstrates when it is appropriate to use a general and subject-specific information source (e.g., to provide an overview, to give ideas on terminology).
Items
64
1.1.4 Defines or modifies the information need to achieve a manageable focus

1.1.4.1 Identifies an initial question that might be too broad or narrow, as well as one that is probably manageable.
617
1.1.4.2 Explains his/her reasoning regarding the manageability of a topic with reference to available information sources.
1.1.4.3 Narrows a broad topic and broadens a narrow one by modifying the scope or direction of the question.
603
1.1.4.4 Demonstrates an understanding of how the desired end product (i.e., the required depth of investigation and analysis) will play a role in determining the need for information.
529
1.1.4.5 Uses background information sources effectively to gain an initial understanding of the topic.
95
1.1.4.6 Consults with the course instructor and librarians to develop a manageable focus for the topic.
646
1.1.5 Identifies key concepts and terms that describe the information need

1.1.5.1 Lists terms that may be useful for locating information on a topic.
637

1.1.5.2 Identifies and uses appropriate general or subject-specific sources to discover terminology related to an information need.
594

1.1.5.3 Decides when a research topic has multiple facets or may need to be put into a broader context.
629

1.1.5.4 Identifies more specific concepts that comprise a research topic.

1.1.6 Recognizes that existing information can be combined with original thought, experimentation, and/or analysis to produce new information

1.2 The information literate student identifies a variety of types and formats of potential sources for information.

1.2.1 Knows how information is formally and informally produced, organized, and disseminated

1.2.1.1 Describes the publication cycle appropriate to the discipline of a research topic.

1.2.1.2 Defines the "invisible college" (e.g., personal contacts, listservs specific to a discipline or subject) and describes its value.
601

1.2.2 Recognizes that knowledge can be organized into disciplines that influence the way information is accessed

1.2.2.1 Names the three major disciplines of knowledge (humanities, social sciences, sciences) and some subject fields that comprise each discipline.
569, 570, 571, 572

1.2.2.2 Finds sources that provide relevant subject field- and discipline-related terminology.
73

1.2.2.3 Uses relevant subject- and discipline-related terminology in the information research process.
242

1.2.2.4 Describes how the publication cycle in a particular discipline or subject field affects the researcher's access to information.
63

1.2.3 Identifies the value and differences of potential resources in a variety of formats (e.g., multimedia, database, website, data set, audio/visual, book)

1.2.3.1 Identifies various formats in which information is available.
568

1.2.3.2 Demonstrates how the format in which information appears may affect its usefulness for a particular information need.

1.2.4 Identifies the purpose and audience of potential resources (e.g., popular vs. scholarly, current vs. historical)

1.2.4.1 Distinguishes characteristics of information provided for different audiences.
27, 624, 632

1.2.4.2 Identifies the intent or purpose of an information source (this may require use of additional sources in order to develop an appropriate context).

1.2.5 Differentiates between primary and secondary sources, recognizing how their use and importance vary with each discipline
1.2.5.1 Describes how various fields of study define primary and secondary sources differently.
101, 633

1.2.5.2 Identifies characteristics of information that make an item a primary or secondary source in a given field.
147, 148, 451, 452, 641

1.2.6 Realizes that information may need to be constructed with raw data from primary sources
524

1.3 The information literate student considers the costs and benefits of acquiring the needed information.

1.3.1 Determines the availability of needed information and makes decisions on broadening the information seeking process beyond local resources (e.g., interlibrary loan; using resources at other locations; obtaining images, videos, text, or sound)

1.3.1.1 Determines if material is available immediately.
104, 106

1.3.1.2 Uses available services appropriately to obtain desired materials or alternative sources.
30

1.3.2 Considers the feasibility of acquiring a new language or skill (e.g., foreign or discipline-based) in order to gather needed information and to understand its context

1.3.3 Defines a realistic overall plan and timeline to acquire the needed information

1.3.3.1 Searches for and gathers information based on an informal, flexible plan.

1.3.3.2 Demonstrates a general knowledge of how to obtain information that is not available immediately.
93

1.3.3.3 Acts appropriately to obtain information within the time frame required.
600

1.4 The information literate student reevaluates the nature and extent of the information need.

1.4.1 Reviews the initial information need to clarify, revise, or refine the question

1.4.1.1 Identifies a research topic that may require revision, based on the amount of information found (or not found).
198

1.4.1.2 Identifies a topic that may need to be modified, based on the content of information found.
215

1.4.1.3 Decides when it is and is not necessary to abandon a topic depending on the success (or failure) of an initial search for information.
531

1.4.2 Describes criteria used to make information decisions and choices

1.4.2.1 Demonstrates how the intended audience influences information choices.

1.4.2.2 Demonstrates how the desired end product influences information choices (e.g., that visual aids or audio/visual material may be needed for an oral presentation).

1.4.2.3 Lists various criteria, such as currency, which influence information choices.
(See also 2.4. and 3.2.)
537

Standard 2
The information literate student accesses needed information effectively and efficiently.
2.1 The information literate student selects the most appropriate investigative methods or information retrieval systems for accessing the needed information.

2.1.1 Identifies appropriate investigative methods (e.g., laboratory experiment, simulation, fieldwork)

2.1.2 Investigates benefits and applicability of various investigative methods

2.1.3 Investigates the scope, content, and organization of information retrieval systems

2.1.3.1 Describes the structure and components of the system or tool being used, regardless of format (e.g., index, thesaurus, type of information retrieved by the system).

2.1.3.2 Identifies the source of help within a given information retrieval system and uses it effectively.

2.1.3.3 Identifies what types of information are contained in a particular system (e.g., all branch libraries are included in the catalog; not all databases are full text; catalogs, periodical databases, and Web sites may be included in a gateway).

2.1.3.4 Distinguishes among indexes, online databases, and collections of online databases, as well as gateways to different databases and collections.

2.1.3.5 Selects appropriate tools (e.g., indexes, online databases) for research on a particular topic.

2.1.3.6 Identifies the differences between freely available Internet search tools and subscription or fee-based databases.

2.1.3.7 Identifies and uses search language and protocols (e.g., Boolean, adjacency) appropriate to the retrieval system.

2.1.3.8 Determines the period of time covered by a particular source.

2.1.3.9 Identifies the types of sources that are indexed in a particular database or index (e.g., an index that covers newspapers or popular periodicals versus a more specialized index to find scholarly literature).

2.1.3.10 Demonstrates when it is appropriate to use a single tool (e.g., using only a periodical index when only periodical articles are required).

2.1.3.11 Distinguishes between full-text and bibliographic databases.

2.1.4 Selects efficient and effective approaches for accessing the information needed from the investigative method or information retrieval system

2.1.4.1 Selects appropriate information sources (i.e., primary, secondary or tertiary sources) and determines their relevance for the current information need.

2.1.4.2 Determines appropriate means for recording or saving the desired information (e.g., printing, saving to disc, photocopying, taking notes).

2.1.4.3 Analyzes and interprets the information collected using a growing awareness of key terms and concepts to decide whether to search for additional information or to identify more accurately when the information need has been met.
2.2 The information literate student constructs and implements effectively-designed search strategies.

2.2.1 Develops a research plan appropriate to the investigative method

2.2.1.1 Describes a general process for searching for information.
643

2.2.1.2 Describes when different types of information (e.g., primary/secondary, background/specific) may be suitable for different purposes.

2.2.1.3 Gathers and evaluates information and appropriately modifies the research plan as new insights are gained.

2.2.2 Identifies keywords, synonyms and related terms for the information needed

2.2.2.1 Identifies keywords or phrases that represent a topic in general sources (e.g., library catalog, periodical index, online source) and in subject-specific sources.

2.2.2.2 Demonstrates an understanding that different terminology may be used in general sources and subject-specific sources.

2.2.2.3 Identifies alternate terminology, including synonyms, broader or narrower words and phrases that describe a topic.
543

2.2.2.4 Identifies keywords that describe an information source (e.g., book, journal article, magazine article, Web site).
239, 444, 616

2.2.3 Selects controlled vocabulary specific to the discipline or information retrieval source

2.2.3.1 Uses background sources (e.g., encyclopedias, handbooks, dictionaries, thesauri, textbooks) to identify discipline-specific terminology that describes a given topic.

2.2.3.2 Explains what controlled vocabulary is and why it is used.
14

2.2.3.3 Identifies search terms likely to be useful for a research topic in relevant controlled vocabulary lists.

2.2.3.4 Identifies when and where controlled vocabulary is used in a bibliographic record, and then successfully searches for additional information using that vocabulary.
577, 582

2.2.4 Constructs a search strategy using appropriate commands for the information retrieval system selected (e.g., Boolean operators, truncation, and proximity for search engines; internal organizers such as indexes for books)

2.2.4.1 Demonstrates when it is appropriate to search a particular field (e.g., title, author, subject).
21

2.2.4.2 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of Boolean logic and constructs a search statement using Boolean operators.
39, 247, 541, 587

2.2.4.3 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of proximity searching and constructs a search statement using proximity operators.
108

2.2.4.4 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of nesting and constructs a search using nested words or phrases.
59

2.2.4.5 Demonstrates and understanding of the concept of browsing and uses an index that allows it.

2.2.4.6 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of keyword searching and uses it appropriately and effectively.
561
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2.2.4.7 Demonstrates an understanding of the concept of truncation and uses it appropriately and effectively.  
515, 578

2.2.5 Implements the search strategy in various information retrieval systems using different user interfaces and search engines, with different command languages, protocols, and search parameters

2.2.5.1 Uses help screens and other user aids to understand the particular search structures and commands of an information retrieval system.  
259

2.2.5.2 Demonstrates an awareness of the fact that there may be separate interfaces for basic and advanced searching in retrieval systems.  
71

2.2.5.3 Narrows or broadens questions and search terms to retrieve the appropriate quantity of information, using search techniques such as Boolean logic, limiting, and field searching.  
604, 639

2.2.5.4 Identifies and selects keywords and phrases to use when searching each source, recognizing that different sources may use different terminology for similar concepts.  

2.2.5.5 Formulates and executes search strategies to match information needs with available resources.  

2.2.5.6 Describes differences in searching for bibliographic records, abstracts, or full text in information sources.

2.2.6 Implements the search using investigative protocols appropriate to the discipline

2.2.6.1 Locates major print bibliographic and reference sources appropriate to the discipline of a research topic.  
522

2.2.6.2 Locates and uses a specialized dictionary, encyclopedia, bibliography, or other common reference tool in print format for a given topic.  

2.2.6.3 Demonstrates an understanding of the fact that items may be grouped together by subject in order to facilitate browsing.  
539

2.2.6.4 Uses effectively the organizational structure of a typical book (e.g., indexes, tables of contents, user's instructions, legends, cross-references) in order to locate pertinent information in it.  
42, 62

2.3 The information literate student retrieves information online or in person using a variety of methods.

2.3.1 Uses various search systems to retrieve information in a variety of formats

2.3.1.1 Describes some materials that are not available online or in digitized formats and must be accessed in print or other formats (e.g., microform, video, audio).  
29

2.3.1.2 Identifies research sources, regardless of format, that are appropriate to a particular discipline or research need.  
523

2.3.1.3 Recognizes the format of an information source (e.g., book, chapter in a book, periodical article) from its citation. (See also 2.3.2.)  
589

2.3.1.4 Uses different research sources (e.g., catalogs and indexes) to find different types of information (e.g., books and periodical articles).  
257
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2.3.1.5 Describes search functionality common to most databases regardless of differences in the search interface (e.g., Boolean logic capability, field structure, keyword searching, relevancy ranking).
549, 640

2.3.1.6 Uses effectively the organizational structure and access points of print research sources (e.g., indexes, bibliographies) to retrieve pertinent information from those sources.  
520

2.3.2 Uses various classification schemes and other systems (e.g., call number systems or indexes) to locate information resources within the library or to identify specific sites for physical exploration

2.3.2.1 Uses call number systems effectively (e.g., demonstrates how a call number assists in locating the corresponding item in the library).
25, 195, 216

2.3.2.2 Explains the difference between the library catalog and a periodical index.
22, 545

2.3.2.3 Describes the different scopes of coverage found in different periodical indexes.
519

2.3.2.4 Distinguishes among citations to identify various types of materials (e.g., books, periodical articles, essays in anthologies). (See also 2.3.1.)
44, 49, 60, 636

2.3.3 Uses specialized online or in person services available at the institution to retrieve information needed (e.g., interlibrary loan/document delivery, professional associations, institutional research offices, community resources, experts and practitioners

2.3.3.1 Retrieves a document in print or electronic form.
194, 229

2.3.3.2 Describes various retrieval methods for information not available locally.
192

2.3.3.3 Identifies the appropriate service point or resource for the particular information need.
548

2.3.3.4 Initiates an interlibrary loan request by filling out and submitting a form either online or in person.
214

2.3.3.5 Uses the Web site of an institution, library, organization or community to locate information about specific services.
614

2.3.4 Uses surveys, letters, interviews, and other forms of inquiry to retrieve primary information

2.4 The information literate student refines the search strategy if necessary.

2.4.1 Assesses the quantity, quality, and relevance of the search results to determine whether alternative information retrieval systems or investigative methods should be utilized

2.4.1.1 Determines if the quantity of citations retrieved is adequate, too extensive, or insufficient for the information need.
196, 228

2.4.1.2 Evaluates the quality of the information retrieved using criteria such as authorship, point of view/bias, date written, citations, etc.
534

2.4.1.3 Assesses the relevance of information found by examining elements of the citation such as title, abstract, subject headings, source, and date of publication.
90, 635
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2.4.1.4 Determines the relevance of an item to the information need in terms of its depth of coverage, language, and time frame.
535

2.4.2 Identifies gaps in the information retrieved and determines if the search strategy should be revised

2.4.3 Repeats the search using the revised strategy as necessary

2.5 The information literate student extracts, records, and manages the information and its sources.
2.5.1 Selects among various technologies the most appropriate one for the task of extracting the needed information (e.g., copy/paste software functions, photocopier, scanner, audio/visual equipment, or exploratory instruments)
593, 647

2.5.2 Creates a system for organizing the information

2.5.3 Differentiates between the types of sources cited and understands the elements and correct syntax of a citation for a wide range of resources
2.5.3.1 Identifies different types of information sources cited in a research tool.
622, 625

2.5.3.2 Determines whether or not a cited item is available locally and, if so, can locate it.

2.5.3.3 Demonstrates an understanding that different disciplines may use different citation styles.
199

2.5.4 Records all pertinent citation information for future reference

2.5.5 Uses various technologies to manage the information selected and organized
532

Standard 3
The information literate student evaluates information and its sources critically and incorporates selected information into his or her knowledge base and value system.

3.1 The information literate student summarizes the main ideas to be extracted from the information gathered.
3.1.1 Reads the text and selects main ideas

3.1.2 Restates textual concepts in his/her own words and selects data accurately

3.1.3 Identifies verbatim material that can be then appropriately quoted

3.2 The information literate student articulates and applies initial criteria for evaluating both the information and its sources.
3.2.1 Examines and compares information from various sources in order to evaluate reliability, validity, accuracy, authority, timeliness, and point of view or bias
3.2.1.1 Locates and examines critical reviews of information sources using available resources and technologies.
558

3.2.1.2 Investigates an author's qualifications and reputation through reviews or biographical sources.
206, 609

3.2.1.3 Investigates validity and accuracy by consulting sources identified through bibliographic references.
536
3.2.1.4 Investigates qualifications and reputation of the publisher or issuing agency by consulting other information resources. (See also 3.4.5.)
3.2.1.5 Determines when the information was published (or knows where to look for a source’s publication date).
3.2.1.6 Recognizes the importance of timeliness or date of publication to the value of the source.
3.2.1.7 Determines if the information retrieved is sufficiently current for the information need.
3.2.1.8 Demonstrates an understanding that other sources may provide additional information to either confirm or question point of view or bias.
124, 628
3.2.2 Analyzes the structure and logic of supporting arguments or methods
3.2.3 Recognizes prejudice, deception, or manipulation
3.2.3.1 Demonstrates an understanding that information in any format reflects an author’s, sponsor’s, and/or publisher’s point of view.
538
3.2.3.2 Demonstrates an understanding that some information and information sources may present a one-sided view and may express opinions rather than facts.
87, 563, 631
3.2.3.3 Demonstrates an understanding that some information and sources may be designed to trigger emotions, conjure stereotypes, or promote support for a particular viewpoint or group.
91, 92
3.2.3.4 Applies evaluative criteria to information and its source (e.g., author’s expertise, currency, accuracy, point of view, type of publication or information, sponsorship).
3.2.3.5 Searches for independent verification or corroboration of the accuracy and completeness of the data or representation of facts presented in an information source.
620
3.2.4 Recognizes the cultural, physical, or other context within which the information was created and understands the impact of context on interpreting the information
3.2.4.1 Describes how the age of a source or the qualities characteristic of the time in which it was created may impact its value.
3.2.4.2 Describes how the purpose for which information was created affects its usefulness.
3.2.4.3 Describes how cultural, geographic, or temporal contexts may unintentionally bias information.

3.3 The information literate student synthesizes main ideas to construct new concepts.
3.3.1 Recognizes interrelationships among concepts and combines them into potentially useful primary statements with supporting evidence
3.3.2 Extends initial synthesis, when possible, at a higher level of abstraction to construct new hypotheses that may require additional information
3.3.3 Utilizes computer and other technologies (e.g. spreadsheets, databases, multimedia, and audio or visual equipment) for studying the interaction of ideas and other phenomena
3.4 The information literate student compares new knowledge with prior knowledge to determine the value added, contradictions, or other unique characteristics of the information.
3.4.1 Determines whether information satisfies the research or other information need

3.4.2 Uses consciously selected criteria to determine whether the information contradicts or verifies information used from other sources

3.4.3 Draws conclusions based upon information gathered

3.4.4 Tests theories with discipline-appropriate techniques (e.g., simulators, experiments)

3.4.5 Determines probable accuracy by questioning the source of the data, the limitations of the information gathering tools or strategies, and the reasonableness of the conclusions

3.4.5.1 Describes how the reputation of the publisher affects the quality of the information source. (See also 3.2.1.)

3.4.5.2 Determines when a single search strategy may not fit a topic precisely enough to retrieve sufficient relevant information.

3.4.5.3 Determines when some topics may be too recent to be covered by some standard tools (e.g., a periodicals index) and when information on the topic retrieved by less authoritative tools (e.g., a Web search engine) may not be reliable.

3.4.5.4 Compares new information with own knowledge and other sources considered authoritative to determine if conclusions are reasonable.

3.4.6 Integrates new information with previous information or knowledge

3.4.7 Selects information that provides evidence for the topic

3.4.7.1 Describes why not all information sources are appropriate for all purposes (e.g., ERIC is not appropriate for all topics, such as business topics; the Web may not be appropriate for a local history topic).

3.4.7.2 Distinguishes among various information sources in terms of established evaluation criteria (e.g., content, authority, currency).

3.4.7.3 Applies established evaluation criteria to decide which information sources are most appropriate.

3.5 The information literate student determines whether the new knowledge has an impact on the individual’s value system and takes steps to reconcile differences.

3.5.1 Investigates differing viewpoints encountered in the literature

3.5.2 Determines whether to incorporate or reject viewpoints encountered

3.6 The information literate student validates understanding and interpretation of the information through discourse with other individuals, subject-area experts, and/or practitioners.

3.6.1 Participates in classroom and other discussions

3.6.2 Participates in class-sponsored electronic communication forums designed to encourage discourse on the topic (e.g., email, bulletin boards, chat rooms)

3.6.3 Seeks expert opinion through a variety of mechanisms (e.g., interviews, email, listservs)

3.7 The information literate student determines whether the initial query should be revised.

3.7.1 Determines if original information need has been satisfied or if additional information is needed
3.7.2 Reviews search strategy and incorporates additional concepts as necessary
   3.7.2.1 Demonstrates how searches may be limited or expanded by modifying search terminology or logic.

3.7.3 Reviews information retrieval sources used and expands to include others as needed
   3.7.3.1 Examines footnotes and bibliographies from retrieved items to locate additional sources.
   3.7.3.2 Follows, retrieves and evaluates relevant online links to additional sources.
   3.7.3.3 Incorporates new knowledge as elements of revised search strategy to gather additional information.

**Standard 5**
The information literate student understands many of the economic, legal, and social issues surrounding the use of information and accesses and uses information ethically and legally.

5.1 The information literate student understands many of the ethical, legal and socio-economic issues surrounding information and information technology.
   5.1.1 Identifies and discusses issues related to privacy and security in both the print and electronic environments
   136
   5.1.2 Identifies and discusses issues related to free vs. fee-based access to information
   5.1.2.1 Demonstrates an understanding that not all information on the Web is free, i.e., some Web-based databases require users to pay a fee or to subscribe in order to retrieve full text or other content.
   200
   5.1.2.2 Demonstrates awareness that the library pays for access to databases, information tools, full-text resources, etc., and may use the Web to deliver them to its clientele.
   556
   5.1.2.3 Describes how the terms of subscriptions or licenses may limit their use to a particular clientele or location.
   638
   5.1.2.4 Describes the differences between the results of a search using a general Web search engine (e.g., Yahoo, Google) and a library-provided tool (e.g., Web-based article index, full-text electronic journal, Web-based library catalog).
   5.1.3 Identifies and discusses issues related to censorship and freedom of speech
   122, 597, 599
   5.1.4 Demonstrates an understanding of intellectual property, copyright, and fair use of copyrighted material
   117, 132, 271, 516, 554

5.2 The information literate student follows laws, regulations, institutional policies, and etiquette related to the access and use of information resources.
   5.2.1 Participates in electronic discussions following accepted practices (e.g. "Netiquette")
   595
   5.2.2 Uses approved passwords and other forms of ID for access to information resources
   5.2.3 Complies with institutional policies on access to information resources
5.2.4 Preserves the integrity of information resources, equipment, systems and facilities
5.2.5 Legally obtains, stores, and disseminates text, data, images, or sounds
112, 118, 553, 644
5.2.6 Demonstrates an understanding of what constitutes plagiarism and does not represent work attributable to others as his/her own
119, 573
5.2.7 Demonstrates an understanding of institutional policies related to human subjects research
120

5.3 The information literate student acknowledges the use of information sources in communicating the product or performance.

5.3.1 Selects an appropriate documentation style and uses it consistently to cite sources

5.3.1.1 Describes how to use a documentation style to record bibliographic information from an item retrieved through research.

5.3.1.2 Identifies citation elements for information sources in different formats (e.g., book, article, television program, Web page, interview).
557, 560, 583

5.3.1.3 Demonstrates an understanding that there are different documentation styles, published or accepted by various groups
528

5.3.1.4 Demonstrates an understanding that the appropriate documentation style may vary by discipline (e.g., MLA for English, University of Chicago for history, APA for psychology, CBE for biology)

5.3.1.5 Describes when the format of the source cited may dictate a certain citation style.
512

5.3.1.6 Uses correctly and consistently the citation style appropriate to a specific discipline.

5.3.1.7 Locates information about documentation styles either in print or electronically, e.g., through the library’s Web site.
619

5.3.1.8 Recognizes that consistency of citation format is important, especially if a course instructor has not required a particular style.
634

5.3.2 Posts permission granted notices, as needed, for copyrighted material
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