WSCUC Review Team Report – Recommendation 2 Updated 09/17/2020

RECOMMENDATION 2: Develop a formal deliberative body, independent of the Faculty Association Policy Boards, to establish shared governance that will improve lines of communication and ensure participatory decision-making.

CFR(s): 2.4, 3.10, 4.3, 4.6

POINT PERSON: Tyrone Cannon, Interim Provost and Vice President of Academic Affairs

TASKS	INDIVIDUAL(S)/	INDICATORS THAT	DESIRED	STATUS	DUE
List the steps that will	GROUP(S)	THE SPECIFIC TASK	OUTCOME(S)	Work Not Yet Started, In	DATE
lead to accomplishment of	RESPONSIBLE	HAS BEEN		Progress, or Complete?	(EXP)
the recommendation		ACCOMPLISHED			
Meet with Policy Board	Donald Heller	Plans are discussed	The development of a	Complete	Spring
leadership to form a plan			clear plan that guides the		2019
for information gathering			development of this		
			formal deliberative body		
Form University Budget	Tyrone Cannon	Structure is determined and	Shared governance and	Complete	Fall 2019
Advisory Committee		committee is formed	clearer lines of	_	
			communication regarding		
			budget decisions		
Consult with and/or visit	Tyrone Cannon	Universities have been	Information is gathered	In Progress	Spring
universities with relevant		consulted with or visited	about the structure of		2021
structures			these groups at other		
			universities		
Meet monthly with the	Tyrone Cannon	A structure for this	Structure is determined	In Progress	Fall 2020
President and Vice		deliberative body is			
President of the USFFA		determined			
Form Joint University	Tyrone Cannon	Bylaws and membership	Shared governance and	In Progress	Spring
Curriculum Committee		structure approved and	clear lines of		2021
		committee formed	communication regarding		
			curricular decisions		

2.4 The institution's student learning outcomes and standards of performance are developed by faculty and widely shared among faculty, students, staff, and (where appropriate) external stakeholders. The institution's faculty take collective responsibility for establishing appropriate standards of performance and demonstrating through assessment the achievement of these standards.

GUIDELINE: Student learning outcomes are reflected in course syllabi.

3.10 The institution demonstrates that students make timely progress toward the completion of their degrees and that an acceptable proportion of students complete their degrees in a timely fashion, given the institution's mission, the nature of the students it serves, and the kinds of programs it offers. The institution collects and analyzes student data, disaggregated by appropriate demographic categories and areas of study. It tracks achievement, satisfaction, and the extent to which the campus climate supports student success. The institution regularly identifies the characteristics of its students; assesses their preparation, needs, and experiences; and uses these data to improve student achievement.

GUIDELINE: The institution disaggregates data according to racial, ethnic, gender, age, economic status, disability, and other categories, as appropriate. The institution benchmarks its retention and graduation rates against its own aspirations as well as the rates of peer institutions.

4.3 Leadership at all levels, including faculty, staff, and administration, is committed to improvement based on the results of inquiry, evidence, and evaluation. Assessment of teaching, learning, and the campus environment—in support of academic and co-curricular objectives—is undertaken, used for improvement, and incorporated into institutional planning processes.

GUIDELINE: The institution has clear, well-established policies and practices—for gathering, analyzing, and interpreting information—that create a culture of evidence and improvement.

4.6 The institution periodically engages its multiple constituencies, including the governing board, faculty, staff, and others, in institutional reflection and planning processes that are based on the examination of data and evidence. These processes assess the institution's strategic position, articulate priorities, examine the alignment of its purposes, core functions, and resources, and define the future direction of the institution.